arXiv:1506.07375v2 [math.KT] 23 Feb 2016

On the Conservativity of Some Functors in Motivic Homotopy Theory

Tom Bachmann

February 24, 2016

Abstract

Given a 0-connective motivic spectrum $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ over a perfect field k, we determine $\underline{h}_0$ of the associated motive $ME \in \mathbf{DM}(k)$ in terms of $\underline{\pi}_0(E)$. Using this we show that if k has finite 2-étale cohomological dimension, then the functor M is conservative when restricted to the subcategory of compact spectra, and induces an injection on Picard groups. We extend the conservativity result to fields of finite *virtual* 2-étale cohomological dimension by considering what we call "real motives".

As a by-product we reprove a variant of a rigidity Theorem of Röndings-Østvær.

1 Introduction

In classical topology, the study of the stable homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}$ is greatly facilitated by considering the singular chain complex functor $C_* : \mathbf{SH} \to D(Ab)$. There are at least two ways of making this statement concrete. Firstly, if $E \in \mathbf{SH}$ is a connective spectrum (has only finitely many non-vanishing negative stable homotopy groups) and $C_*E \simeq 0$, then actually $E \simeq 0$. We call this the *conseravitivity theorem.* Secondly, recall that a spectrum E is called *invertible* if there exists a spectrum F such that $E \wedge F \simeq S$ (S the sphere spectrum). The set of equivalence classes of invertible spectra forms an abelian group denoted $Pic(\mathbf{SH})$; similarly we have the group $Pic(D(Ab))$. The functor C_* induces a homomorphism $Pic(\mathbf{SH}) \to Pic(D(Ab))$ which is *injective.* (It follows then quickly that it is an isomorphism, since $Pic(D(Ab))$ is easy to determine.) We call this the Pic-injectivity theorem. Both of these results follow from the *Hurewicz Theorem*: recall that $E \in \mathbf{SH}$ is called *0-connective* if $\pi_i(E) = 0$ for all $i < 0$, where π_i denote the stable homotopy groups. We may also associate with E its homology groups $H_i(E) := H_i(C_*E)$. The Hurewicz Theorem states that if E is 0-connective then $H_i(E) = 0$ for all $i < 0$ and that there is a natural isomorphism $\pi_0(E) = H_0(E)$.

A natural question is how to extend these results to the motivic world. Recall that in this world, for every perfect field k (at least) we define a category $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ analogous to $\mathbf{SH}$, but starting with smooth varieties as building blocks instead of topological spaces. One would then like to find a nice category $\mathcal{C}(k)$ analogous to $D(Ab)$ and prove a conservativity and Pic-injectivity theorem for a functor $\mathbf{SH}(k) \to \mathcal{C}(k)$. The first such result has been obtained by Morel. Recall that Morel, Ayoub and others have defined and studied a category $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$ and a functor $C_* : \mathbf{SH}(k) \to D_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$, see for example [19, Section 5.2]. Both the categories $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ and $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$ afford a t-structure, and C_* induces an isomorphisms of the hearts. Let us write $\underline{\pi}_i(E)$ for the homotopy objects of a spectrum $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ in this t-structure, and $\underline{h}'_i(E)$ for the homotopy objects of C_*E. Then Morel has proved that for 0-connectve E one has $\underline{\pi}_0(E) = \underline{h}'_0(E)$ [21, Theorem 6.37]. This is a perfect analog of the classical Hurewicz theorem, and the conservativity and Pic-injectivity theorems follow in the same way as classically.

The problem with this formulation is that the category $D_{\mathbb{A}^1}(k)$ seems to be very hard to study. Moreover Voevodsky has constructed the category $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ which feels like a more traditional candidate for a Hurewicz theorem. But even though $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ affords a t-structure, and there is a nice functor $M : \mathbf{SH}(k) \to \mathbf{DM}(k)$, the hearts of $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ and $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ are definitely *not* equivalent, so some new idea is needed. Write $\underline{h}_i(E)$ for the homotopy objects of ME for $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$. Suppose $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ is 0-connective. Our first contribution is to identify $\underline{h}_0(E)$.

Theorem (Compare Theorem 11.)**.** *Let $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ be 0-connective. Then in notation to be explained later, we have*

$$\underline{h}_0(E) = \underline{\pi}_0(E)/\eta.$$

Here we use implicitly that the heart of $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ can be identified with a subcategory of the heart of $\mathbf{SH}(k)$. This theorem shows that we lose some information in passing to the motive, and so cannot expect a perfect analogy with the classical situation. Nonetheless we can prove the following.

Theorem (Compare Theorem 15.)**.** *Let k be a perfect field of finite 2-étale cohomological dimension and $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ be compact. If $ME \simeq 0$ then $E \simeq 0$.*

Additionally, the induced homomorphism $Pic(\mathbf{SH}(k)) \to Pic(\mathbf{DM}(k))$ is injective.

(Recall that an object is called compact if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{SH}(k)}(E, \bullet)$ commutes with arbitrary sums.) The theorem as stated here is not optimal. In characteristic zero, the compactness assumption can be replaced by the technical assumptions of connectivity and slice-connectivity. In characteristic $p > 0$ we can also replace compactness by connectivity and slice-connectivity, but in this case we must additionally assume that the natural map $E \xrightarrow{p} E$ is an isomorphism.

If k is a non-orderable field (i.e. one in which -1 is a sum of squares; we still assume k perfect), then one may present k as a colimit of perfect subfields with finite 2-étale cohomological dimension, and the theorem can then be deduced for such k, compare [2]. Compactness instead of connectivity and slice-connectivity is then essential, however.

The author currently does not know how to alleviate the perfectness assumption on k.

The remaining natural weakening is to consider orderable k, i.e. such fields where -1 is *not* a sum of squares. (Such fields necessarily have characteristic zero.) Assume for simplicity that k can be embedded into $\mathbb{R}$. Write $Sper(k)$ for the set of orderings of k (equivalently in this case, embeddings $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$). If $\sigma \in Sper(k)$, then there is a so-called *real realisation* functor $R^{\mathbb{R}}_{\sigma} : \mathbf{SH}(k) \to \mathbf{SH}$, related to considering the real points of a smooth variety, with their strong topology. We compose this with the singular chain complex functor to obtain the *real motive* $M^{\mathbb{R}}_{\sigma} : \mathbf{SH}(k) \to D(Ab)$. We can further consider homology with $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ coefficients and obtain $M^{\mathbb{R}}_{\sigma}[1/2] : \mathbf{SH}(k) \to D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$. We may then prove the following.

Theorem (Compare Theorem 31.)**.** *Let $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a field of finite virtual 2-étale cohomological dimension and let $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ be connective and slice-connective. If $0 \simeq ME \in \mathbf{DM}(k)$ and additionally for all $\sigma \in Sper(k)$, $0 \simeq M^{\mathbb{R}}_{\sigma}[1/2](E) \in D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$, then in fact $E \simeq 0$.*

We point out right away that this theorem does not include a Pic-injectivity result; in the current form such a result seems unlikely to hold. The conditions on k can again be relaxed. It turns out that for any orderable field k and ordering $\sigma \in Sper(k)$, one may define a functor $M^{\mathbb{R}}_{\sigma}[1/2] : \mathbf{SH}(k) \to D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ analogous to the real motive functors for $k \subset \mathbb{R}$; we still call them real motives. The theorem holds for all k of characteristic zero and finite virtual 2-étale cohomological dimension, with the new definition of $M^{\mathbb{R}}_{\sigma}[1/2]$. Details are explained before the proof of Theorem 31. One may also show that any orderable field is a colimit of orderable fields of finite virtual 2-étale cohomological dimension, so the theorem holds for arbitrary orderable fields, assuming that E is *compact*. See again Bondarko's work [2].

A word on applications. In the classical situation, Pic-injectivity immediately implies that $Pic(\mathbf{SH}) = \mathbb{Z}$. In the motivic case, results are not nearly as strong, mainly because not much is known about $Pic(\mathbf{DM}(k))$. However, combining conservativity and Pic-injectivity, many problems about (potentially) invertible objects in $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ can be reduced to analogous questions in $\mathbf{DM}(k)$. A prototypical example is as follows (where $\mathbf{SH}(k)_e$ is the category of objects on which the exponential characteristic e is invertible):

Proposition. *Let $E, F \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{cpt}_e$ and suppose that $cd_2(k) < \infty$. Then E, F are isomorphic invertible objects if and only if ME, MF are.*

Proof. It is clear that if $E \approx F$ are invertible, then so are ME, MF. We show the converse.

Since M is a tensor functor between rigid tensor categories, it preserves duals. Consider the natural map $DE \wedge E \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{SH}(k)}$. If ME is invertible, then $M\alpha$ is an isomorphism, and hence so is α, by conservativity. Thus E is invertible. Similarly for F. But now $E, F \in Pic(\mathbf{SH}(k))$ and $E \approx F$ if and only if $ME \approx MF$, by Pic-injectivity. □

The conjectures by Po Hu on invertiblity of Pfister Quadrics, as stated in [11, Conjecture 1.4], can be cast in this form. We shall establish the analog of Hu's conjecture in $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ in a forthcoming article. Together with the results of this work, this establishes Hu's conjecture for $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ whenever k has finite 2-étale cohomological dimension.

If one only wishes to show that an object is invertible, we do not need Pic-injectivity. For example, a natural idea is that the reduced suspension spectrum of any smooth affine quadric Q should yield an invertible object in $\mathbf{SH}(k)$. By arguments as in the above proposition, using the two conservativity theorems, it follows that it suffices to show that $\tilde{M}Q$ is invertible and that for every ordering σ of k, $\tilde{M}^{\mathbb{R}}_{\sigma}[1/2]Q$ is invertible. The former question is purely about motives, and dealt with in the same forthcoming work mentioned above. The latter question is purely topological and very easy. Thus we conclude: if k is *any* perfect field and Q any smooth affine quadric over k, then $\Sigma^\infty Q$ is invertible in $\mathbf{SH}(k)_e$.

Here is a detailed description of the organisation of this paper. In section 2 we prove a general result about t-categories and certain functors between them, which we call the *abstract Hurewicz Theorem*. It will be our replacement for the classical Hurewicz Theorem.

In section 3, we recall the construction of $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ and $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ with the appropriate t-structures. We show how to combine the abstract Hurewicz Theorem with a foundational result of Deglise to deduce our motivic Hurewicz Theorem.

In section 4 we study the heart of the category $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ in more detail. It is also known as the category of *homotopy modules*. We combine the careful study of Morel of strictly invariant sheaves with Levine's work on Voevodsky's slice filtration to show that every homotopy module H affords a canonical filtration $F_\bullet$ built using the unramified Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaves $\underline{K}^{MW}_*$. Combined with Voevodsky's resolution of the Milnor conjectures, we can prove the conservativity theorem for k of finite 2-étale cohomological dimension and with the exponential characteristic inverted.

In order to remove the need to invert the exponential characteristic, one uses a very similar (probably identical) filtration $\tilde{F}_\bullet H$. In this section we only state its properties; the actual construction is relegated to an appendix.

The Pic-injectivity theorem is obtained as an easy consequence of the conservativity results.

The rest of the paper deals with the case of orderable fields. Using methods very similar to the unorderable case, one shows: if E is connective and slice-connective and $ME \simeq 0$, then 2 is invertible on E. This is the crucial insight of Bondarko. (This is where we need finite virtual 2-étale cohomological dimension.)

In section 5 we tackle the problem of building a conservative functor for spectra on which 2 is invertible. We consider a certain ring spectrum $EMW_k[1/2]$ and consider the category of modules over of it; its homotopy category is denoted $EMW_k[1/2]$**-Mod**. It has already been studied in [1]. An easy argument using the abstract Hurewicz theorem shows that if $ME \simeq 0$ and also $E \wedge EMW_k[1/2] \simeq 0$, then $E \simeq 0$. Consequently from now on we concentrate on studying the category $EMW_k[1/2]$**-Mod**. Using ideas from [12] we show that this category satisfies descent in the “real étale topology” in an appropriate sense. This allows us to assume that k is real closed. Using ideas from semi-algebraic topology, we prove that the category $EMW_k[1/2]$**-Mod** is actually independent of the real closed field k, and that $EMW_{\mathbb{R}}[1/2]$**-Mod** is canonically (vial real realisation) equivalent to $D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$. Putting all of these facts together, we obtain the conservativity theorem for orderable fields.

The paper concludes with two appendices. In appendix A, we recall some well-known results about compact objects in abelian and triangulated categories. In appendix B we construct the missing filtration $\tilde{F}_\bullet$ mentioned earlier. (The results from appendix A are needed to establish that the filtration is finite.) This appendix is essentially a long and detailed computation with strictly homotopy invariant sheaves. As a bonus, we can reprove a version of rigidity for torsion objects in motivic homotopy theory [26], compare Corollary 44.

The author wishes to thank Fabien Morel for suggesting this topic of investigation and providing many helpful insights. He also wishes to thank Mikhail Bondarko for useful discussions, and in particular for showing to him Lemma 18.

2 The Abstract Hurewicz Theorem

In this short section we prove an abstract result about t-categories. It is (we feel) so natural that it has probably occurred elsewhere before. We also include some well-known results. Since all proofs are short and easy, we include them for the convenience of the reader.

We first review some notations. The most important thing to point out is that we use *homological* notation for t-categories, whereas most sources, such as the excellent [8, Section IV §4], use cohomological notation. The usual reindexing trick ($E_n \leftrightarrow E^{-n}$) can be used to translate between the two.

In more detail, a t-category is a triple $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_{\le 0}, \mathcal{C}_{\ge 0})$ consisting of a triangulated category $\mathcal{C}$ and two full subcategories $\mathcal{C}_{\le 0}, \mathcal{C}_{\ge 0} \subset \mathcal{C}$ satisfying certain properties. One puts $\mathcal{C}_{\le n} = \mathcal{C}_{\le 0}[n]$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\ge n} = \mathcal{C}_{\ge 0}[n]$. The inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{\le n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ affords a *left* adjoint denoted $E \mapsto E_{\le n}$. Similarly the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{\ge n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a *right* adjoint denoted $E \mapsto E_{\ge n}$. Both are called *truncation*.

The full subcategory $\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit = \mathcal{C}_{\ge 0} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\le 0}$ turns out to be abelian. It is called the *heart* of the t-category $\mathcal{C}$. The *homotopy objects* are $\pi_0^{\mathcal{C}}(E) = (E_{\le 0})_{\ge 0} \approx (E_{\ge 0})_{\le 0} \in \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ and $\pi_i^{\mathcal{C}}(E) = \pi_0^{\mathcal{C}}(E[-i])$.

One has many more properties. For example $\mathcal{C}_{\ge n} \supset \mathcal{C}_{\ge n+1}$, $\mathcal{C}_{\le n} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\le n+1}$, there are functorial distinguished triangles $E_{\ge n} \to E \to E_{\le n-1}$, the functor $\pi_*^{\mathcal{C}}$ is homological (turns distinguished triangles into long exact sequences), etc.

Recall that a triangulated functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ between t-categories is called *right-t-exact* (respectively *left-t-exact*) if $F(\mathcal{C}_{\ge 0}) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\ge 0}$ (respectively if $F(\mathcal{C}_{\le 0}) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\le 0}$). It is called *t-exact* if it is both left and right t-exact.

If $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is any triangulated functor between t-categories, then it induces a functor $F^\heartsuit : \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit \to \mathcal{D}^\heartsuit, E \mapsto \pi_0^{\mathcal{D}} FE$.

For computations, we often write $[A, B]$ instead of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$. We also note that the axioms for t-categories are self-dual. Passing from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}^{op}$ turns left-t-exact functors into right-t-exact functors, etc.

The notions of left and right t-exactness are partly justified by the following.

Proposition 1. *Let $M : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : U$ be adjoint functors between t-categories, with M right-t-exact and U left-t-exact. Then*

$$M^{\heartsuit} : \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}^{\heartsuit} : U$$

is also an adjoint pair. In particular $M^{\heartsuit}$ is right exact and $U^{\heartsuit}$ is left exact.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}, B \in \mathcal{D}^{\heartsuit}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}[M^{\heartsuit}A, B] &\overset{(1)}{=} [\pi_0^{\mathcal{D}} MA, B] \overset{(2)}{=} [(MA)_{\leq 0}, B] \overset{(3)}{=} [MA, B] \\ &\overset{(4)}{=} [A, UB] \overset{(3')}{=} [A, (UB)_{\geq 0}] \overset{(2')}{=} [A, \pi_0^{\mathcal{C}} UB] \overset{(1')}{=} [A, U^{\heartsuit}B].\end{aligned}$$

Here (1) is by definition, (2) is because $A \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and so $MA \in \mathcal{D}_{\geq 0}$ by right-t-exactness of M, and (3) because $B \in \mathcal{D}_{\leq 0}$. Equality (4) is by adjunction, and finally (3'), (2'), (1') reverse (3), (2), (1) with $M \leftrightarrow U$, left $\leftrightarrow$ right, etc. ☐

The following lemma is a bit technical but naturally isolates a crucial step in the proofs two following results.

Lemma 2. *Let $M : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : U$ be adjoint functors between t-categories. If M is right-t-exact (respectively U left-t-exact) then there is a natural isomorphism $(UE)_{\geq n} \approx (UE_{\geq n})_{\geq n}$ (respectively $(ME)_{\leq n} \approx (ME_{\leq n})_{\leq n}$).*

Proof. By duality, we need only prove one of the statements. Suppose that U is left-t-exact. We compute for $T \in \mathcal{D}_{\leq n}$

$$\begin{aligned}[(ME)_{\leq n}, T] &\overset{(1)}{=} [ME, T] \overset{(2)}{=} [E, UT] \\ &\overset{(3)}{=} [E_{\leq n}, UT] \overset{(2)}{=} [ME_{\leq n}, T] \overset{(1)}{=} [(ME_{\leq n})_{\leq n}, T].\end{aligned}$$

Since all equalities are natural, the result follows from the Yoneda lemma. Here (1) is because $T \in \mathcal{D}_{\leq n}$, (2) is by adjunction, and (3) is because U is left-t-exact so $UT \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq n}$. ☐

With this preparation, we can formulate our theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let $M : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : U$ be adjoint functors between t-categories, such that M is right-t-exact and U is left-t-exact. Then for $E \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\pi_0^{\mathcal{D}} ME \approx M^{\heartsuit} \pi_0^{\mathcal{C}} E.$$

Proof. We have

$$M^{\heartsuit} \pi_0^{\mathcal{C}} E = \pi_0^{\mathcal{D}} M \pi_0^{\mathcal{C}} E \overset{(1)}{=} \pi_0^{\mathcal{D}} ME_{\leq 0} \overset{(2)}{=} (ME_{\leq 0})_{\leq 0} \overset{(3)}{=} (ME)_{\leq 0} \overset{(2)}{=} \pi_0^{\mathcal{D}} ME.$$

This is the desired result. Here (1) is because $E \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$, (2) is because M is right-t-exact, and (3) is because of Lemma 2 applied to left-t-exactness of U. ☐

This has the following useful corollary.

Corollary 4. *In the above situation, if* $M^\heartsuit$ *(or* $U^\heartsuit$*) is an equivalence of categories, and the* t*-structure on* $\mathcal{C}$ *is non-degenerate, then the functor* F *is conservative for connective objects.*

Proof. If $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq n}$ and $FX = 0$ then $F^\heartsuit(\pi_n^{\mathcal{C}} X) = \pi_n^{\mathcal{D}} FX = 0$, so $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq n+1}$. Iterating this argument we find that $\pi_i^{\mathcal{C}} X = 0$ for all i and so $X = 0$ by non-degeneracy of the t-structure. □

For convenience, we also include the following observation.

Lemma 5. *Let* $M : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : U$ *be adjoint functors between* t*-categories. If* M *is right-*t*-exact (respectively* U *left-*t*-exact), then* U *is left-*t*-exact (respectively* M *right-*t*-exact).*

So in applying the theorem only one of the two exactness properties has to be checked.

Proof. By duality it suffices to prove only one of the statements. So assume that M is right-t-exact and let $E \in \mathcal{D}_{\leq 0}$. We need to show that $UE \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$. Because of the distinguished triangle $(UE)_{\geq 1} \to UE \to (UE)_{\leq 0}$ it suffices to show that $(UE)_{\geq 1} = 0$. But by Lemma 2, the right-t-exactness of M implies that $(UE)_{\geq 1} = (UE_{\geq 1})_{\geq 1} = 0$ (since $E \in \mathcal{D}_{\leq 0}$). □

To illustrate these rather abstract results, we show how to recover the classical Hurewicz theorem for spectra. For this, we consider understood the functor $C_* : \mathbf{SH} \to D(Ab)$ and the respective t-structures. We write $\pi_i = \pi_i^{\mathbf{SH}}, H_i = \pi_i^{D(Ab)}$. Since C_* commutes with arbitrary sums (wedges), it affords a right adjoint U, by Neeman's version of Brown representability. Since $C_* S = \mathbb{Z}[0]$ is projective, an easy computation shows that $\pi_i UC = H_i C$ for any $C \in D(Ab)$. Thus U is t-exact (it is in fact the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum functor). From Lemma 5 and Theorem 3 we conclude now that for $E \in \mathbf{SH}_{\geq 0}$ we have $\pi_0 E = H_0 E$ (recall that $C_*^\heartsuit$ is an isomorphism).

3 The Case of Motivic Homotopy Theory: The Motivic Hurewicz Theorem

In this section we shall work with a fixed perfect ground field k.

We now show how to apply the results of the previous section to motivic homotopy theory. This mainly consists in recalling definitions and providing references.

First we need to construct $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ and $\mathbf{DM}(k)$. We follow [25, Section 2]. Let $Sm(k)$ be the category of smooth schemes over the perfect field k and $Cor(k)$ the category whose objects are the smooth schemes and whose morphisms are the finite correspondences. We write $Shv(k)$ (respectively $Shv^{tr}(k)$) for the categories of Nisnevich sheaves. Write $R : Sm(k) \to Shv(k)$ and $R_{tr} : Cor(k) \to Shv^{tr}(k)$ for the functors sending an object to the sheaf it represents.

There is a natural functor $M : Sm(k) \to Cor(k)$ with $M(X) = X$ and $M(f) = \Gamma_f$, the graph of f. This induces a functor $U : Shv^{tr}(k) \to Shv(k)$ via $(UF)(X) = F(MX)$. There is a left adjoint $M : Shv(k) \to Shv^{tr}(k)$ to U. It is the unique colimit-preserving functor such that $M(RX) = R_{tr}(X)$. Write $Shv_*(k)$ for the category of pointed sheaves. Then there is $R_+ : Sm(k) \to Shv_*(k)$ obtained by adding a disjoint base point. The objects in $U(Shv^{tr}(k))$ are canonically pointed (by zero) and one obtains a new adjunction $\tilde{M} : Shv_*(k) \rightleftarrows Shv^{tr}(k) : U$

We can pass to simplicial objects and extend M and U levelwise to obtain an adjunction $\tilde{M} : \Delta^{op} Shv_*(k) \rightleftarrows \Delta^{op} Shv^{tr}(k) : U$. We denote by $Spt(k)$ the category of $S^{2,1} := S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$-spectra in $\Delta^{op} Shv_*(k)$ and by $Spt^{tr}(k)$ the category of $M(S^{2,1})$-spectra in $\Delta^{op} Shv^{tr}(k)$. The adjunction still extends, so we obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Sm(k) \arrow[r, "M"] \arrow[d, "R_+"] & Cor(k) \arrow[d, "R_{tr}"] \\
Shv_*(k) \arrow[r, bend left, "\tilde{M}"] \arrow[d] & Shv^{tr}(k) \arrow[l, bend left, "U"] \arrow[d] \\
\Delta^{op} Shv_*(k) \arrow[r, bend left, "\tilde{M}"] \arrow[d, "\Sigma^\infty"] & \Delta^{op} Shv^{tr}(k) \arrow[l, bend left, "U"] \arrow[d, "\Sigma^\infty"] \\
Spt(k) \arrow[r, bend left, "M"] & Spt^{tr}(k) \arrow[l, bend left, "U"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

(Compare also [10, Diagram (4.1)].) One may put the projective local model structures on the four categories in the lower square and then the adjunctions become Quillen adjunctions, so pass through localisation. Contracting the affine line yields the $\mathbb{A}^1$-local model structures. The homotopy category of $Spt(k)$ (in this model structure) is denoted $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ and is called the motivic stable homotopy category. Similarly the homotopy category of $Spt^{tr}(k)$ is denoted $\mathbf{DM}(k)$. It is essentially a bigger version of the category constructed by Voevodsky, as explained in [25, Section 2]. We have thus the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Sm(k) \arrow[r, "M"] \arrow[d, "\Sigma^\infty(\bullet_+)"] & Cor(k) \arrow[d] \\
\mathbf{SH}(k) \arrow[r, bend left, "M"] & \mathbf{DM}(k) \arrow[l, bend left, "U"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

We recall that M (in all its incarnations) is a symmetric monoidal functor.

Next we need to define t-structures on $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ and $\mathbf{SH}(k)$. For $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ (respectively $E \in \mathbf{DM}(k)$) let $\underline{\pi}_i(E)_j$ (respectively $\underline{h}_i(E)_j$) be the sheaf on

$Sm(k)$ (respectively on $Cor(k)$) associated with the presheaf $V \mapsto [\Sigma^\infty(V_+) \wedge S^i, \mathbb{G}_m^j \wedge E]$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{SH}(k)_{\geq 0} &= \{E \in \mathbf{SH}(k) : \underline{\pi}_i(E)_j = 0 \text{ for } i < 0 \text{ and } j \in \mathbb{Z}\}\\ \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\leq 0} &= \{E \in \mathbf{SH}(k) : \underline{\pi}_i(E)_j = 0 \text{ for } i > 0 \text{ and } j \in \mathbb{Z}\},\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\mathbf{DM}(k)$. By [18, Section 5.2] this defines a t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ called the *homotopy t-structure*. It is also true that $\mathbf{DM}(k)_{\leq 0}, \mathbf{DM}(k)_{\geq 0}$ define a t-structure. This can be seen by repeating the arguments of [10, Section 2.1] for $\mathbf{DM}(k)$, noting that the connectivity theorem for $\mathbf{DM}(k)$ follows from Voevodsky's cancellation theorem.

With all this setup out of the way, we can prove the result of this section.

Lemma 6. *The functor $U : \mathbf{DM}(k) \to \mathbf{SH}(k)$ is t-exact. In fact for $E \in \mathbf{DM}(k)$ we have $\underline{\pi}_i(UE)_j = U(\underline{h}_i(E)_j)$ and $U : Shv^{tr}(k) \to Shv(k)$ detects zero objects.*

Proof. It follows from the definitions of the t-structures that we need only prove the "in fact" part. Let $\underline{\pi}_i^{pre}(E)_j$ be the presheaf $V \mapsto [\Sigma^\infty(V_+) \wedge S^i, \mathbb{G}_m^j \wedge E]$, and similarly for $\underline{h}_i^{pre}(E)_j$. Then writing also $U : PreShv(Cor(k)) \to PreShv(Sm(k))$ we get immediately from the definitions that $U(\underline{h}_i^{pre}(E)_j) = \underline{\pi}_i^{pre}(UE)_j$. So we need to show that $U : PreShv(Cor(k)) \to PreShv(Sm(k))$ commutes with taking the associated sheaf. This is well known, see e.g. [15, Theorem 13.1]. ☐

Corollary 7 (Preliminary form of the Motivic Hurewicz Theorem)**.** *Let $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\geq 0}$. Then $ME \in \mathbf{DM}(k)_{\geq 0}$ and $\underline{h}_0(ME)_* = M^\heartsuit(\underline{\pi}_0(E)_*)$.*

Here $\underline{\pi}_0(E)_*$ denotes the homotopy object in $\mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$, and similarly for $\underline{h}_0(ME)_*$.

Proof. We know that M is left adjoint to the t-exact functor U. Hence M is right-t-exact by Lemma 5. Thus $ME \in \mathbf{DM}(k)_{\geq 0}$, and the result about homotopy objects is just a concrete incarnation of Theorem 3. ☐

Next we explain how to identify $M^\heartsuit : \mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit \to \mathbf{DM}(k)^\heartsuit$. To do this, recall the Hopf map $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^1$. In $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ we have the isomorphism $\Sigma^\infty(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \simeq \Sigma^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m)$ and hence this defines a stable map $\eta : \Sigma^\infty(\mathbb{G}_m) \to S$, where S is the sphere spectrum $\Sigma^\infty(Spec(k)_+)$. If $F \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$ we put $F(n) := \underline{\pi}_0(F \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge n})$. Consequently there is a natural map $\eta_F = \underline{\pi}_0(\eta \wedge \mathrm{id}_F) : F(1) \to F$.

An important observation is that $M(\eta)$ is the zero map. One may show that this implies that for $F \in \mathbf{DM}(k)^\heartsuit$, we have $0 = \eta_{UF} : UF(1) \to UF$. We denote by $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit,\eta=0}$ the full subcategory of $\mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$ consisting of objects $F \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$ with $\eta_F = 0$.

Theorem 8 (Deglise [4])**.** *Let k be a perfect field. The functor $U : \mathbf{DM}(k)^\heartsuit \to \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit,\eta=0}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. Modulo identifying $\mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit = \Pi_*(k)$ and $\mathbf{DM}(k)^\heartsuit = \Pi_*^{tr}(k)$ this is Theorem 1.3.4 of Deglise. The first identification is explained in [18, Theorem 5.2.6]. The second one is obtained by adapting Morel's proof. □

Corollary 9. *For $F \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit, \eta=0}$ we have $UM^\heartsuit F = F$.*

Proof. By the theorem we may write $F = UF'$. Using the fact that $M^\heartsuit$ is left adjoint to U $(= U^\heartsuit)$ by proposition 1, we compute $[M^\heartsuit F, T] = [M^\heartsuit UF', T] = [UF', UT] = [F', T]$, where the last equality is because U is fully faithful (by the theorem). Thus $M^\heartsuit F = F'$ by the Yoneda lemma, and finally $UM^\heartsuit F = UF' = F$. □

Corollary 10. *For $F \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$ we have $UM^\heartsuit(F) = F/\eta$, where F/η denotes the cokernel of $\eta_F : F(1) \to F$ in the abelian category $\mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$.*

Proof. We have the right exact sequence

$$F(1) \xrightarrow{\eta} F \to F/\eta \to 0.$$

Since $M^\heartsuit$ is left adjoint it is right exact. Also U is exact, so we get the right exact sequence

$$UM^\heartsuit F(1) \to UM^\heartsuit F \to UM^\heartsuit(F/\eta) \to 0.$$

The first arrow is zero and $UM^\heartsuit(F/\eta) = F/\eta$ by the previous corollary (note that $F/\eta \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit,\eta=0}$). The result follows. □

We thus obtain the Hurewicz theorem.

Theorem 11 (Final Version of the Motivic Hurewicz Theorem)**.** *Let k be a perfect field and $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\ge 0}$.*

Then $ME \in \mathbf{DM}(k)_{\ge 0}$ and modulo the identification of $\mathbf{DM}(k)^\heartsuit$ as a full subcategory of $\mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$ (via Theorem 8) we have

$$\underline{h}_0(ME)_* = \underline{\pi}_0(E)_*/\eta.$$

Proof. Combine Corollary 7 with Corollary 10. □

4 Homotopy Modules and the Slice Filtration

In essentially all of this section, the base field k will be assumed perfect. We restate this assumption with each theorem, but not necessarily otherwise.

We now study in more detail the heart $\mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$. First recall some notation. By $Sm(k)$ we denote the symmetric monoidal category of smooth varieties over a field k, monoidal operation being cartesian product. We write $Shv(k)$ for the abelian closed symmetric monoidal category of sheaves of abelian groups on $Sm(k)$ in the Nisnevich topology. The monoidal product comes from the ordinary tensor product of abelian groups. There is a natural functor $\mathbb{Z}\bullet$:

$Sm(k) \to Shv(k)$ sending a smooth variety to the sheaf it represents. One has $\mathbb{Z}X \otimes \mathbb{Z}Y \approx \mathbb{Z}(X \times Y)$, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}\bullet$ is a monoidal functor.

If $X \in Sm(k)$ and $x \in X$ is a rational point, there is a natural splitting $\mathbb{Z}X \approx \mathbb{Z}(Spec(k)) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(X, x)$ (defining the last term). We put $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}, 1)$. An easy computation shows that for any $F \in Shv(k), U \in Sm(k)$ one has a natural splitting $F(U \times \mathbb{G}_m) = F(U) \oplus \Omega F(U)$ (defining the last term). In fact ΩF is easily seen to be a sheaf. One verifies without difficulty that $\Omega F \approx \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m, F)$. (Where $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}$ denotes the right adjoint of $\otimes$.) In other works the notation $\Omega F = F_{-1}$ is often used; we avoid this for sake of clarity.

A sheaf $F \in Shv(k)$ is called *strictly* $\mathbb{A}^1$*-invariant* (or *strictly invariant* if the context is clear) if for all $U \in Sm(k), n \in \mathbb{N}$ the natural map $H^n_{Nis}(U, F) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^n_{Nis}(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, F)$ is an isomorphism. The full subcategory of $Shv(k)$ consisting of strictly invariant sheaves is denoted $\mathbf{HI}(k)$.

By a *homotopy module* we mean a collection $F_* \in \mathbf{HI}(k), * \in \mathbb{Z}$ together with isomorphisms $F_n \xrightarrow{\approx} \Omega F_{n+1}$. A morphism of homotopy modules $f_* : F_* \to G_*$ is a collection of morphisms $f_n : F_n \to G_n$ such that $\Omega f_{n+1} = f_n$ under the natural identifications. We denote the category of homotopy modules by $\mathbf{HI}_*(k)$. We study it because of the following result.

Theorem 12 (Morel [18], Theorem 5.2.6)**.** *Let k be perfect and $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the collection $\underline{\pi}_n(E)_*$ is a homotopy module. The functor $\mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit \to \mathbf{HI}_*(k), E \mapsto \underline{\pi}_0(E)_*$ is an equivalence of categories.*

In particular the category $\mathbf{HI}_*(k)$ is abelian. We can clarify the abelian structure as follows. Recall that $\mathbf{HI}(k)$ is the Heart of the S^1-stable $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy category [18, Lemma 4.3.7 2)]. By construction, the inclusion $\mathbf{HI}(k) \to Shv(k)$ is exact. This means that for any morphism of strictly invariant sheaves, the kernel and image (computed in $Shv(k)$) are strictly invariant. Finally the functor $\omega^n : \mathbf{HI}_*(k) \to \mathbf{HI}(k), F_* \mapsto F_n$ is also exact.

Homotopy modules have a lot of structure. The zeroth homotopy module of the sphere spectrum is denoted $\underline{K}^{MW}_* := \underline{\pi}_0(S)_*$ and called *unramified Milnor-Witt K-theory*. It has been explicitly described by Morel [21, Chapter 3]. For $n \geq 1$ there exist natural surjections $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m^{\otimes n} \twoheadrightarrow \underline{K}^{MW}_n$. Let $F_* \in \mathbf{HI}_*(k), m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The isomorphism $\Omega F_m \approx F_{m-1}$ induces by adjunction a pairing $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m \otimes F_{m-1} \to F_m$. It turns out that this pairing factorises through the surjection $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m \twoheadrightarrow \underline{K}^{MW}_1$.

Now quite generally given any three sheaves F, G, H there exists a natural map $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(F, G) \otimes H \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(F, G \otimes H)$. Thus the pairing $\underline{K}^{MW}_1 \otimes F_M \to F_{m+1}$ induces $\Omega^2 \underline{K}^{MW}_1 \otimes F_m \to \Omega^2 F_{m+1}$, i.e. $\underline{K}^{MW}_{-1} \otimes F_m \to F_{m-1}$. The graded ring sheaf $\underline{K}^{MW}_*$ is generated by $\underline{K}^{MW}_1$ and $\eta \in \underline{K}^{MW}_{-1}$, so there is at most one possible extension of these two pairings to a total pairing $\underline{K}^{MW}_* \otimes F_* \to F_{*+*}$. It turns out that this paring always exists. In fact $\mathbf{HI}_*(k) \approx \mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$ inherits a tensor structure we denote $\wedge$ to avoid confusion. For any $F, G \in \mathbf{HI}_*(k)$ there exists a natural map $F_n \otimes G_m \to (F \wedge G)_{n+m}$. Since $\underline{K}^{MW}_* = \underline{\pi}_0(S)_*$ is the unit object, we obtain a pairing $\underline{K}^{MW}_n \otimes F_m \to (\underline{K}^{MW} \wedge F)_{n+m} \approx F_{n+m}$. One may prove that these two pairings just constructed coincide.

Homotopy modules also have transfers. For this, denote by $\mathcal{F}_k$ the category of fields of finite transcendence degree over k. If $L \in \mathcal{F}_k$ (or more generally L essentially smooth over k) and $F \in Shv(k)$, then $F(L)$ can be defined by an appropriate colimit. If L'/L is any finite extension (still $L \in \mathcal{F}_k$), $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $F_* \in \mathbf{HI}_*(k)$, then Morel has constructed the *cohomological transfer* [21, Chapter 4] $tr_{L'/L} : F_n(L') \to F_n(L)$.

If F is a strictly invariant sheaf then F is unramified [20, Lemma 6.4.4] (in particular for connected $X \in Sm(k)$, the natural map $F(X) \to F(k(X))$ is injective). Thus $F = 0$ if and only if $F(L) = 0$ for all $L \in \mathcal{F}_k$. Since $\mathbf{HI}(k)$ is abelian it follows that if F is a strictly invariant sheaf and $G, H \subset F$ are strictly invariant subsheaves, then $G = H$ if and only if $G(L) = H(L)$ for all $L \in \mathcal{F}_k$.

Now let $F \otimes G \to H$ be a pairing, where H is a strictly invariant sheaf with transfers. We shall write

$$(FG)^{tr}(L) := \langle tr_{L'/L}(F(L')G(L'))\rangle_{L'/L \text{ finite}} \subset H(L).$$

It follows from the above discussion that there exists at most one strictly invariant sheaf $(FG)^{tr} \subset H$ with the above sections over fields.

Now recall the slice filtration [31, Section 2]. Write $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{eff}(i)$ for the localising subcategory of $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ generated by $(\Sigma^\infty X_+) \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge i}$ for all $X \in Sm(k)$. The inclusion $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{eff}(i) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{SH}(k)^{eff}$ commutes with arbitrary sums by construction and so affords a right adjoint f_i by Neeman's version of Brown representability. The object f_iE is called the i-th *slice cover* of E. It is easy to see that there is a commutative diagram of natural transformations

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & f_{i-1} \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{id} \arrow[r, equal] & \mathrm{id}\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We call E such that $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{eff}(n)$ for some n (equivalently $E = f_nE$) *slice-connective.*

Suppose that $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\ge 0}$. We want to define a filtration on $\underline{\pi}_0(E)_*$. We shall put

$$F_N\underline{\pi}_0(E)_* := im(\underline{\pi}_0(f_{-N}E)_* \to \underline{\pi}_0(E)_*) \subset \underline{\pi}_0(E)_*.$$

There is now the following interesting result. (We caution that Levine uses somewhat different indexing conventions than we do.)

Theorem 13 (Levine [13], slightly adapted Theorem 2)**.** *Let k be a perfect field of characteristic different from 2 and $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\ge 0}$. Then for $m \ge i$ and any perfect field extension F/k we have*

$$(F_i\underline{\pi}_0(E)_*)_m(F) = (\underline{K}^{MW}_{m-i}\underline{\pi}_0(E)_i)^{tr}(F).$$

(Image computed in the abelian category $\mathbf{HI}_*(k)$.)

Note that if k has characteristic zero, then the above Theorem implies that the (unique) sheaf $(\underline{K}^{MW}_{m-i}\underline{\pi}_0(E)_i)^{tr}$ exists. In characteristic $p > 0$ the result is not quite strong enough, but it is good enough if we invert p. In appendix B, we prove the following variant.

Theorem 14. *Let $H_* \in \mathbf{HI}_*(k)$ be a homotopy module over the perfect field k.*

(1) The sheaf $(\underline{K}_n^{MW} H_m)^{tr} \subset H_{n+m}$ exists for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$.

(2) We have that $(\underline{K}_n^{MW} H_m)^{tr} = \Omega(\underline{K}_{n+1}^{MW} H_m)^{tr} \subset H_{n+m}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$.

Put

$$(\tilde{F}_n H)_m = \begin{cases} (\underline{K}_{m-n}^{MW} H_n)^{tr} & : m > n \\ H_m & : m \leq n \end{cases}.$$

(3) $(\tilde{F}_n H)_*$ is a homotopy submodule of H_*.

(4) If $H = \underline{\pi}_0(E)_*$, for $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{cpt}_{\geq 0}$, then the filtration $\tilde{F}_\bullet H$ is finite: there exists $N >> 0$ with $\tilde{F}_N H = H$.

What this theorem says is that even though we may not be able to understand the filtration $F_\bullet H$, we can construct a different filtration $\tilde{F}_\bullet H$ which agrees on perfect fields. There is one set-back compared to Levine's theorem: the filtration $\tilde{F}_\bullet H$ is completely algebraic, not geometric in origin. In particular if $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{eff}(-N)_{\geq 0}$ for some N (i.e. E is slice-connective), then it is immediate that $F_N \underline{\pi}_0 E_* = \underline{\pi}_0 E_*$, but we do not have such a nice characterisation for $\tilde{F}_\bullet$. However compare (4) of the Theorem.

We can finally prove our first conservativity theorem.

Theorem 15 (Conservativity I)**.** *Let k be a perfect field of finite 2-étale cohomological dimension and expenontial characteristic e, and $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$. Assume that either (a) $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_e$ is connective and slice-connective, or (b) E is compact.*

Then if $ME = 0$ also $E = 0$.

More specifically, if either (a) or (b) holds, and $ME \in \mathbf{DM}(k)_{\geq n}$ then also $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\geq n}$.

Proof. We shall prove: if $X \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\geq n}$, (a) or (b) holds, and $\underline{h}_n(MX)_* = 0$ then $X \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\geq n+1}$. This is the "more specifically" part. It follows that if actually $MX = 0$ then $\underline{\pi}_i(X)_j = 0$ for all i and j and so $X \simeq 0$, since weak equivalences in $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ are detected by $\underline{\pi}_*(\bullet)_*$, essentially by construction [18, Proposition 5.1.14].

The first claim of the Theorem then follows, since under (a) we assume E connective, and under (b) we assume E compact and all compact objects are connective. Indeed $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ is generated (as a localising subcategory) by the compact objects $\Sigma^\infty X_+(i)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $X \in Sm(k)$ (see e.g. [25], Lemma 2.27 and paragraph thereafter); it follows from general results [22, Lemma 2.2] that $\mathbf{SH}(k)^{cpt}$ is the thick triangulated subcategory generated by the same objects. It follows that $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{cpt}$ is obtained using finitely many operations from (finitely many) objects of the form $\Sigma^\infty X_+(i)$, and all of these are connective [20].

To explain the general argument, let us for now assume that k has characteristic zero. We will indicate at the end what needs to be changed in positive characteristic.

By unramifiedness of strictly invariant sheaves, it is enough to show that $\underline{\pi}_n(X)_*(K) = 0$ for all finitely generated field extensions K/k. We consider the filtration $F_\bullet \underline{\pi}_n(E)_*$. We know that $F_N \underline{\pi}_n(E)_* = \underline{\pi}_n(E)_*$ for some N sufficiently large, by slice-connectivity. I claim that for $r >> 0$ we have $\underline{\pi}_n(X)_{N+r}(K) = 0$. This claim proves the result. Indeed we have $\underline{\pi}_n(X)_*/\eta = 0$ by the Hurewicz Theorem 11, so η is surjective, whence the claim implies that $\underline{\pi}_n(X)_i(K) = 0$ for all i.

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\pi}_n(X)_{N+r}(K) &= (\underline{K}_r^{MW} \underline{\pi}_n(X)_N)^{tr}(K) \\ &= (\underline{K}_r^{MW} \eta^r \underline{\pi}_n(X)_{N+r})^{tr}(K) \\ &= (\underline{I}^r \underline{\pi}_n(X)_{N+r})^{tr}(K).\end{aligned}$$

Here the first equality is by Theorem 13, the second is by surjectivity of η, and the third is essentially by definition. It is thus enough to show that for some $r >> 0$ and for all L/K finitely generated, we have $I(L)^r = 0$. Now K is finitely generated over k, so K has finite 2-étale chomological dimension [29, Theorem 28 of Chapter 4], say R. Then L also has 2-étale cohomological dimension bounded by R by loc. cit. Finally the resolution of the Milnor conjectures (see [17] for an overview) implies that $I^l(L) = 0$ for $l > R$. This concludes the proof in characteristic zero.

If $e = 2$ then the functor $\mathbf{SH}(k)_2 \to \mathbf{DM}(k, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ is conservative on connective objects by Corollary 4 (compare the discussion of $\mathbf{SH}(k)_2^-$ in the last paragraph of this section), and the Theorem follows.

If $e > 1$ and assumption (b) holds (i.e. E is compact), then the same argument as in characteristic zero applies, but with $F_\bullet$ replaced by $\tilde{F}_\bullet$ and the reference to Theorem 13 replaced by Theorem 14.

If $e > 2$ and assumption (a) holds, we still need to show that for K/k finitely generated we have $\underline{\pi}_n(E)_*(K) = 0$. Let K^p/K be a perfect closure. By Lemma 16 below, the natural map $\underline{\pi}_n(E)_*(K) \to \underline{\pi}_n(E)_*(K^p)$ is injective. It is thus enough to show that the latter group is zero. For this we use the same argument as in characteristic zero; the only additional thing we need is to show that K^p has finite 2-étale cohomological dimension, but this follows from finite dimension of K since they have the same étale site [30, Tags 04DZ and 01S4]. □

Lemma 16. *Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ and H_* a homotopy module on which p is invertible. Let K/k be a finitely generated field and K'/K a purely inseparable extension. Then $H_*(K) \to H_*(K')$ is injective.*

Proof. Let L/k be a finitely generated field extension and $x \in L$ not a p-th root. Write $L' = L(x^{1/p})$. I claim that $H_*(L) \to H_*(L')$ is injective. Once this is done we conclude that $H_*(K) \to H_*(K')$ is injective for any purely inseparable finitely generated extension (being a composite of finitely many extensions of the form L'/L) and hence for any purely inseparable extension by continuity.

In order to prove the claim, we shall use the transfer $tr_{L'/L} : H_*(L') \to H_*(L)$. This satisfies the projection formula: if $\alpha \in H_*(L)$ then $tr_{L'/L}\alpha|_{L'} = tr_{L'/L}(1)\alpha$, where $1 \in \underline{G}W(L')$ is the unit. (This is because transfer comes from an actual map of pro-spectra $\Sigma^\infty Spec(L)_+ \to \Sigma^\infty Spec(L')_+$.) Hence it is enough to show that $t := tr_{L'/L}(1)$ is a unit in $\underline{G}W(L)[1/p]$. But $t = \sum_{i=1}^p \langle (-1)^{i-1} \rangle$. Indeed this may be checked by direct computation, using the fact that (cohomological) transfers on $\underline{K}_*^{MW}$ coincide with Scharlau tranfers, as follows from their definition [21, Section 4.2] and Schrlau's reciprocity law [27, Theorem 4.1]; this is explained in more detail in [3, Lemma 2.2]. To show that $t \in GW(L)[1/p]$ is invertible, it is enough to consider the canonical images in $dim(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ and $cl(t) \in W(L)[1/p]$. We know that $dim(t) = p$ is invertible by design. If $p = 2$ then $W(L)[1/p] = 0$ [16, Theorem III.3.6], so $cl(t)$ is clearly a unit. Otherwise we have that $t = \frac{p-1}{2}(\langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle) + 1$ and so $cl(t) = 1$ is also invertible. This concludes the proof. □

We note that the theorem can definitely fail if k has infinite 2-étale cohomological dimension. See [2, Example 2.1.2(4)] for an example.

We also obtain the Pic-injectivity result in this case:

Theorem 17 (Pic-injectivity)**.** *Let k be a perfect field of finite 2-étale cohomological dimension and $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ be invertible. If $ME \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ then $E \simeq S$.*

(Here $\mathbb{Z}, S$ denote the respective tensor units of $\mathbf{DM}(k), \mathbf{SH}(k)$).

Proof. Invertible objects are compact because the unit is, so we can use the conservativity theorem. Since $\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbf{DM}(k)_{\geq 0}$ it follows from the "more specifically" part that $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\geq 0}$. Let $a \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, ME)$ be an isomorphism. We have $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, ME) = \underline{h}_0(ME)_0(k) = (\underline{\pi}_0(E)/\eta)_0(k) = \underline{\pi}_0(E)_0(k)/\eta\underline{\pi}_0(E)_1(k)$. It follows that there exists $\tilde{a} \in \underline{\pi}_0(E)_0(k) = \mathrm{Hom}(S, E)$ such that $M\tilde{a} = a$. Conservativity implies that $\tilde{a}$ is an isomorphism. This concludes the proof. □

The remainder of this paper deals with the situation in which $cd_2(k)$ cannot be finite, namely the orderable fields. We shall thus not concern ourselves with imperfectness problems, since we are really only intersted in characteristic zero. We begin with the following.

Lemma 18 (Bondarko [2])**.** *Let k be of characteristic zero and finite virtual 2-étale cohomological dimension.*

Let $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ be connective and slice-connective, and suppose that $2^n \,\mathrm{id}_E = 0$. Then if $ME \in \mathbf{DM}(k)_{\geq n}$ also $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\geq n}$. In particular if $ME = 0$ then $E = 0$.

Proof. We may assume that $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\geq 0}$ and prove that $\underline{\pi}_0(E)_* = 0$. By induction it will follow that $\underline{\pi}_i(E)_* = 0$ for all i, and so $E = 0$ because the homotopy t-structure is non-degenerate.

Suppose that $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{eff}(-N)$ (this is true for some N because E is assumed slice-connective.) By the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 15 it suffices to show that for any finitely generated extension K/k there exists $r >> 0$ such that for any finite extension L/K we have that $I(L)^r \underline{\pi}_0(E)_{N+r} = 0$.

Write vcd_2 for the virtual 2-étale cohomological dimension. Then $vcd_2(K) < \infty$ and $vcd_2(L) \le vcd_2(K)$ [29, Theorem 28 of Chapter 4]. If $r > vcd_2(K) + n$ then $I(L)^r = I(L)^{r-n}2^n$ [7]. But then $I(L)^r \underline{\pi}_0(X)_{N+r}(L) = 0$ because $\underline{\pi}_0(X)_{N+r}(L)$ is a 2^n-torsion group. This concludes the proof. □

We will now begin to have to deal with quite many tensor categories at once. We will write $\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$ for the unit in any tensor category $\mathcal{C}$. Thus for example $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{SH}(k)} = S$. We write $\mathbf{SH}(k)_2$ for the 2-localised version of $\mathbf{SH}(k)$. This is the full (colocalising) tensor triangulated subcategory of all objects $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ such $E \xrightarrow{2} E$ is an isomorphisms; equivalently all the homotopy sheaves $\underline{\pi}_i(E)$ are uniquely 2-divisible. The unit $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{SH}(k)_2}$ is the spectrum $S_2 = \operatorname{hocolim}(S \xrightarrow{2} S \xrightarrow{2} S \xrightarrow{2} \dots)$ and the localisation $\mathbf{SH}(k) \to \mathbf{SH}(k)_2 \subset \mathbf{SH}(k)$ is given by smash product with S_2.

Recall that the category $\mathbf{SH}(k)_2$ naturally splits into two parts. Indeed $End(\mathbb{1})_{\mathbf{SH}(k)_2} = GW(k)[1/2] = W(k)[1/2] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ [19, Theorem 6.2.1, Remark 6.1.6 (b)] [21, Chapter 3 and Theorem 6.40]. More specifically one puts $\epsilon = -\langle -1 \rangle \in End(\mathbb{1})$. Then $\epsilon^2 = 1$ and hence $\epsilon_\pm = (\epsilon \pm 1)/2$ are idempotents and induce the decomposition. We write $\mathbf{SH}(k)_2^+$ for those $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_2$ where ϵ acts as -1, and $\mathbf{SH}(k)_2^-$ for those E where ϵ acts as +1; then every object $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_2$ can be written uniquely as $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$, with $E^\pm \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_2^\pm$. Note that $\eta[-1] = -\epsilon - 1 = -2$ on $\mathbf{SH}(k)_2^-$, so η is invertible on $\mathbf{SH}(k)_2^-$.

Corollary 19. *Let k be of characteristic zero and finite virtual 2-étale cohomological dimension. If $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ is connective and slice-connective, and $ME = 0$, then $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_2^-$.*

Proof. Let F fit in the distinguished triangle $E \xrightarrow{2} E \to F$. We have $4\,\mathrm{id}_F = 0$ and so the above Lemma applies: $F = 0$. We conclude that $E \xrightarrow{2} E$ is an isomorphism, whence $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_2$. Then $ME = M(E^+) \oplus M(E^-)$. We have $M(E^-) = 0$ (on UME^- the map η is zero, but it is also an isomorphism since it comes from η on E^-), thus $M(E^+) = 0$. But $M : \mathbf{SH}(k)_2^+ \to \mathbf{DM}(k, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ is conservative on connective objects by Corollary 4 and Theorem 8 (note that $\eta = 0$ on $\underline{K}_*^{MW}[1/2]^- = K_*^M[1/2]$). Thus $E^+ = 0$. This concludes the proof. □

Note also that if k is of arbitrary characteristic but has finite 2-étale cohomological dimension then k is non-orderable, so $W(k)[1/2] = 0$ [16, Theorem III.3.6] and $\mathbf{SH}(k)_2^- = 0$. Thus $\mathbf{SH}(k)_2 \to \mathbf{DM}(k, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ is conservative on connective objects in this case. This finishes an argument in the proof of Theorem 31.

5 Witt Motives, Real Motives and the Real Étale Topology

Write $EMW_k[1/2]$ for the spectrum $(S_2^-)_{\leq 0}$. This is the spectrum corresponding to the homotopy module which in each term is just $\underline{W}[1/2]$, the sheaf of unramified Witt groups with 2 inverted. It is a ring spectrum in an appropriate sense, so we can form a model category $EMW_k[1/2]$-$\mathcal{MOD}$ and its associated homotopy category $Ho(EMW_k[1/2]\text{-}\mathcal{MOD}) =: EMW_k[1/2]$**-Mod**. There is the usual adjunction $F : \mathbf{SH}(k) \leftrightarrows EMW_k[1/2]\textbf{-Mod} : U$, with $UFX = X \wedge EMW_k[1/2]$. Since $EMW_k[1/2] \in \mathbf{SH}(k)_{\geq 0}$ the category $EMW_k[1/2]$**-Mod** affords a t-structure and the functor U is t-exact. (This is well known but also follows from the alternative description of $EMW_k[1/2]$**-Mod** to be given below.) For $X \in Sm(k)$ we will abuse notation and write FX for $F\Sigma^\infty X_+$.

In fact we can describe $EMW_k[1/2]$**-Mod** more explicitly as follows [1, Corollary 6]. Start with the category of complexes of sheaves of $\underline{W}[1/2]$-modules. This category is abelian, so we may form its derived category $\mathcal{D}$. This is compactly generated tensor triangulated. Consider the subcategory $\mathcal{E}$ of those fibrant complexes $X^\bullet$ such that the natural maps $X^\bullet \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{A}^1, X^\bullet)$ (coming from pull-back) and $X^\bullet \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m, X^\bullet)$ (coming from the structure as a $\underline{W}$-module and the identification $\underline{W} = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m, \underline{W})$) are quasi-isomorphisms. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is equivalent to $EMW_k[1/2]$**-Mod**. We conclude also that $(EMW_k[1/2]\textbf{-Mod})^\heartsuit \subset \mathbf{SH}(k)^\heartsuit$ consists of those homotopy modules M_* such that 2 is invertible and η is invertible, i.e. the natural map $\eta : M_* \to M_{*-1}$ is an isomorphism. Equivalently this is the category of strictly $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant sheaves of $\underline{W}[1/2]$-modules F such that the natural map $F \to \Omega F = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m, F)$ coming from the $\underline{W}$-module structure is an isomorphism.

Lemma 20. *The functor $F : \mathbf{SH}(k)_2^- \to EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** is conservative on connective objects.*

Proof. This follows from the above discussion, Corollary 4 and Lemma 5. □

We shall make good use of the next result, even though the proof is very easy. Recall that an object E in a symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is called *rigid* if there exists an object DE such that the functors $\otimes E, \otimes DE$ are both left and right adjoint to one another. Rigid objects are preserved by tensor functors since they are detected by the zig-zag equations [14, Theorem 2.6]. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a tensor triangulated category then the subcategory $\mathcal{C}^{rig}$ of rigid objects is a thick tensor triangulated subcategory [9, Theorem A.2.5].

Lemma 21. *Let $F : \mathcal{C} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{D} : G$ be an adjunction of symmetric monoidal categories. Assume that F is a tensor functor and that the natural map $\mathbb{1} \to GF\mathbb{1}$ is an isomorphism. Then the restricted functor*

$$F : \mathcal{C}^{rig} \to \mathcal{D}$$

is fully faithful, where $\mathcal{C}^{rig}$ denotes the subcategory category of rigid objects.

If $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ are triangulated, F, G are triangulated functors, $\mathcal{C}$ is compact-rigidly generated and F preserves compact objects, then F is fully faithful on all of $\mathcal{C}$.

We note that for the second part, F preserves compact objects for example if $\mathcal{D}$ is also compact-rigidly generated. More generally, this is true if G preserves coproducts.

Proof. For $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}^{rig}$ we have

$$[X, Y] = [DY \otimes X, \mathbb{1}] = [DY \otimes X, G\mathbb{1}] = [F(DY \otimes X), \mathbb{1}] = [DFY \otimes FX, \mathbb{1}] = [FX, FY].$$

Here we used that tensor functors preserve duals.

For the second statement, it is enough to show that under the additional assumptions, for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $X \to GFX$ is an isomorphism. By compact generation, it is enough to show that $[T, X] = [FT, FX]$ for all compact T. Let A be the class of objects in $X \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $[T, X] = [FT, FX]$ for all compact T. Then A contains all compact (so rigid) objects, by the first part. Additionally A is closed under isomorphisms and shifts, and also arbitrary sums (because F preserves compact objects). Hence A consists of all objects of $\mathcal{C}$, by compact generation. □

We now have to introduce the *real étale topology*. To any scheme X one may functorially associate a topological space (in fact locally ringed space) $R(X)$, called its "realification". If $X = Spec(A)$ then one writes $Sper(A) := R(Spec(A))$. The points of $R(X)$ are pairs (P, α) where $P \in X$ and α is an ordering of the residue field $k(P)$. We call a family of étale maps $\{\alpha_i : X_i \to X\}$ a *real étale covering (or rét-covering)* if the induced maps $R(\alpha_i) : R(X_i) \to R(X)$ are jointly surjective. For example, if $X = Spec(k)$ where k is a field, then $R(X)$ is the set of orderings of k, and a family of separable field extension l_i/k defines a rét-covering $\{Spec(l_i) \to Spec(k)\}$ if and only if every ordering of k extends to one of the l_i.

The rét-coverings define a topology on schemes [28, (1.2)]. We write $a_{rét}$ for the associated sheaf functor, in various situations. Write $\tilde{W}$ for the presheaf of Witt groups.

Lemma 22 ([12], Lemma 6.4 (2))**.** *The sheaf $a_{Nis}\tilde{W}[1/2]$ is a sheaf in the rét-topology on $Sch/\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$: for a scheme X such that 2 is invertible on X, the natural map*

$$(a_{Nis}\tilde{W}[1/2])(X) \to (a_{rét}\tilde{W}[1/2])(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Corollary 23. *For any field k of characteristic not two, the sheaf $\underline{W}[1/2]$ on $Sm(k)$ is a sheaf in the rét-topology.*

Proof. Recall that the (Nisnevich!) sheaf of unramified Witt groups $\underline{W}$ is just the sheaf associated (in the Zariski topology) to the presheaf $\tilde{W}$ of Witt groups [23, Theorem A]. □

We now consider a "rét-version" of the category $EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** and denote it $(EMW_k[1/2]\text{-}\mathbf{Mod})^{rét}$. We can describe it either as the Bousfield localisation of $EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** at the rét-hypercovers, or as the category constructed from chain complexes of rét-sheaves of $\underline{W}[1/2]$ modules by the same process as $EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** is constructed from chain complexes of Nisnevich sheaves. Either way we find an adjunction

$$L : EMW_k[1/2]\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \leftrightarrows (EMW_k[1/2]\text{-}\mathbf{Mod})^{rét} : i.$$

Here the left adjoint is tensor.

Lemma 24. *If k is a field of characteristic zero, then L is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. We wish to apply Lemma 21. For this we need to show that $\mathbb{1} \in EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** has rét-descent, i.e. that $iL\mathbb{1} \simeq \mathbb{1}$. We follow the same strategy as in [12, Theorem 6.6]. For $X \in Sm(k)$ we have that $[FX[-p], \mathbb{1}] = H^p_{Nis}(X, \underline{W}[1/2])$ and $[FX[-p], iL\mathbb{1}] = H^p_{rét}(X, \underline{W}[1/2])$. Moreover $H^p_{Nis}(X, \underline{W}[1/2]) = H^p_{rét}(X, \underline{W}[1/2])$ by corollary 23 and [28, Proposition 19.2.1]. Since the objects FX generate $EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** (recall that η is an isomorphism in this category), we conclude that $\mathbb{1}$ satisfies rét-descent.

In order to apply the strong version of Lemma 21, first note that $EMW_k[1/2]$ is compact-rigidly generated. Indeed $\mathbf{SH}(k)$ is [24], and the functor F preserves compact-rigid generators, since it is tensor and its right adjoint commutes with arbitrary sums.

Next we need to show that L preserves compact objects, or equivalently that i preserves arbitrary sums. For this, let J be an indexing set and $E_j \in (EMW_k[1/2]\text{-}\mathbf{Mod})^{rét} \subset EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** for $j \in J$. We wish to prove that $i \bigoplus_{j\in J} E_j \simeq \bigoplus_{j \in J} iE_i$. Write $T = \bigoplus_j iE_j$. Observe that $i \bigoplus_{j \in J} E_j = iLT$. Now for $X \in Sm(k)$ there are spectral sequences

$$H^p_{Nis}(X, \underline{\pi}_q T) \Rightarrow [FX[q-p], T] \tag{1}$$

$$H^p_{rét}(X, \underline{\pi}_q T) \Rightarrow [FX[q-p], iLT]. \tag{2}$$

$$\bigoplus_j H^p_{Nis}(X, \underline{\pi}_q E_j) \Rightarrow \bigoplus_j [FX[q-p], E_j] \tag{3}$$

$$\bigoplus_j H^p_{rét}(X, \underline{\pi}_q E_j) \Rightarrow \bigoplus_j [FX[q-p], iLE_j]. \tag{4}$$

These spectral sequences converge completely provided that the rét and Nisnevich cohomological dimensions of X with respect to the sheaves occurring are finite. But both rét-cohomological dimension and Nisnevich dimension are always bounded by $\dim X$ [15, Example 12.2] [28, Theorem 7.6].

We have $\underline{\pi}_q T = \bigoplus_j \underline{\pi}_q E_j$ since $FX \in EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** is compact. Nisnevich cohomology commutes with filtered colimits [30, Tag 0739] and the same is true for rét-cohomology [28, Proposition 3.2(b)]. Consequently the left hand sides of (1) and (3) are the same, and similarly for (2) and (4). By complete convergence we conclude that the right hand sides of (1) and (3) are the same, and similarly for (2) and (4). But the right hand sides of (3) and (4) are the same by assumption (i.e. each E_j being rét-local), so the right hand sides of (1) and (2) must be the same.

Since $X \in Sm(k)$ was arbitrary and the FX generate $EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod**, this is what we wanted to prove. ☐

Note that if k is perfect of positive characteristic, then $EMW_k[1/2] = 0$ so the Lemma is trivially true.

This rather abstract result has many interesting applications.

Corollary 25. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero.*

Let F be a Nisnevich sheaf of $\underline{W}[1/2]$-modules on $Sm(k)$ which is strictly homotopy invariant and such that the natural map $F \to \Omega F$ is an isomorphism. Then for any $p \geq 0$ and $X \in Sm(k)$ we have $H^p_{Nis}(X, F) = H^p_{r\acute{e}t}(X, F)$.

Proof. This just says that $EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** and $(EMW_k[1/2]\text{-}\mathbf{Mod})^{r\acute{e}t}$ have the same hearts. ☐

Corollary 26. *Let k have characteristic zero and l_j/k be field extensions, $j \in J$ some indexing set. Assume that every ordering of k extends to an ordering of one of the l_j, i.e. that $\{Spec(l_j) \to Spec(k)\}_j$ is a rét-covering. Then the functor*

$$EMW_k[1/2]\text{-}\boldsymbol{Mod} \to \prod_{j \in J} EMW_{l_j}\text{-}\boldsymbol{Mod}$$

is conservative.

Proof. The base change functor is t-exact and so commutes with taking homotopy sheaves. By the previous corollary all of the homotopy sheaves are sheaves in the rét-topology, so it suffices to show that

$$Shv_{r\acute{e}t}(Sm(k)) \to \prod_j Shv_{r\acute{e}t}(Sm(l_j))$$

is conservative. This is essentially clear, since $\{Spec(l_j) \to Spec(k)\}_j$ is a rét-covering. ☐

The above lemma shows that for our purposes, in studying $EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** we may assume that k is real closed. It turns out that in this case the field is essentially irrelevant. Before we can prove this, we need to recall a result from semi-algebraic topology.

Theorem 27. *Let K/k be an extension of real closed fields and X/k a separated scheme of finite type. Then for any presheaf of abelian groups F and any $p \geq 0$, the natural map*

$$H^p_{r\acute{e}t}(X, F) \to H^p_{r\acute{e}t}(X_K, F)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Associated with X we have the real étale site $X_{rét}$, the topological space $R(X)$, and a further site which we shall denote $G(X)$ and which is called the the *geometric space* associated with X in [5] (this reference requires the finite type and separatedness assumptions). The toposes associated with $X_{rét}$ and $R(X)$ are equivalent [28, Theorem 1.3], and the toposes associated with $G(X)$ and $R(X)$ are also equivalent [5, Proposition 1.4]. Finally, the comparison result is proved for cohomology in $G(X)$ in [5, Theorem 6.1] (take $\Phi = \emptyset$). ☐

Proposition 28. *Let $f : Spec(l) \to Spec(k)$ be an extension of real closed fields. The functor $f^* : EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** $\to EMW_l[1/2]$-**Mod** is an equivalence of categories. Moreover, both categories are generated by the tensor unit.*

Proof. We first show that the functor is fully faithful. We wish to apply Lemma 21. Certainly the functor f^* is tensor with right adjoint f_*, and both $EMW_k[1/2]$**-Mod** and $EMW_l[1/2]$**-Mod** are compact-rigidly generated (as we have seen for example in the proof of Lemma 24). It remains to show that $f_*f^*\mathbb{1} \simeq \mathbb{1}$. Since the FX for $X \in Sm(k)$ generate $EMW_k[1/2]$**-Mod**, this amounts to comparing $[FX[-p], \mathbb{1}] = H^p(X, \underline{W}[1/2])$ and $[FX[-p], f_*f^*\mathbb{1}] = [FX_l[-p], \mathbb{1}] = H^p(X_l, \underline{W}[1/2])$. Here H^p refers to either Nisnevich or rét-cohomology (they coincide as we have seen). Thus we conclude using Theorem 27 and Corollary 25

Next we need to show that the functor is essentially surjective. To do this it suffices to show that $EMW_k[1/2]$**-Mod** is generated by the tensor unit $\mathbb{1}$. For this it is enough to show that if $F \in (EMW_k[1/2]\textbf{-Mod})^{\heartsuit}$ is a sheaf such that $F(k) = 0$ then $F = 0$. Now F is unramified, so it is enough to show that $F(K) = 0$ for every finitely generated field extension K/k. But Corollary 25 applies and thus F is a rét-sheaf. Hence it suffices to show that $F(K^r) = 0$ for every real closure $f : K \hookrightarrow K^r \to k$. But this just says that $[f^*\mathbb{1}, f^*F] = 0$, which we know is true because $[f^*\mathbb{1}, f^*F] = [\mathbb{1}, F] = F(k)$ by the full faithfulness we already proved. ☐

Proposition 29. *The category $EMW_{\mathbb{R}}$-**Mod** is canonically equivalent to $D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$.*

Proof. For this recall the real realisation functor $R : \mathbf{SH}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbf{SH}$ [6, Section 5.3]. It has a right adjoint R^*. (Actually Dugger constructs a functor to $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant stable homotopy by considering complex points with the conjugation action; our functor is obtained by composing with the geometric fixed points. All of these functors have right adjoints.)

Recall that $EMW_{\mathbb{R}}[1/2] = (S_2^-)_{\le 0}$. It is clear that $RS = S$ and (since R is a left adjoint) $RS_2 = S_2$. One checks directly from the definitions that the map η is taken by R to an isomorphism, and the plus-projector is taken to zero. Consequently $RS_2^- = S_2$. As usual it is easy to check that R^* is left-t-exact, so R is right-t-exact by Lemma 5. Thus we find a natural map

$$R(EMW_{\mathbb{R}}[1/2]) = R((S_2^-)_{\le 0}) \to (R((S_2^-)_{\le 0}))_{\le 0} = R(S_2^-)_{\le 0} = (S_2)_{\le 0} = H\mathbb{Z}[1/2],$$

where we have used Lemma 2.

Consequently we can construct an enhanced realisation

$$R_W : EMW_{\mathbb{R}}[1/2]\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \leftrightarrows H\mathbb{Z}[1/2]\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} = D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2]) : R_W^*.$$

The map $R(EMW_{\mathbb{R}}[1/2]) \to H\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ corresponds by adjunction to a map $EMW_{\mathbb{R}}[1/2] \to R^*H\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, which is the underlying map of the canonical map $\mathbb{1} \to R_W^* R_W \mathbb{1}$. I claim that this map is an equivalence. By Proposition 28, the category $EMW_{\mathbb{R}}[1/2]$-**Mod** is generated by $\mathbb{1}$, whence it is enough to show that $[\mathbb{1}[-p], \mathbb{1}] \to [R_W\mathbb{1}[-p], R_W\mathbb{1}]$ is an isomorphism for all p. But the left hand side is $H^p_{Nis}(Spec(\mathbb{R}), \underline{W}[1/2])$ and the right hand side is $H^p_{sing}(*, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$, so this is immediate.

Thus by Lemma 21 we find that R_W is fully faithful, and since it has dense image it is an equivalence. □

It is amusing to note that as a corollary to this proposition, we find that for a $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$-module A and $X/\mathbb{R}$ smooth we get $[FX, A \otimes \mathbb{1}[p]] = H^p_{ret}(X, A) = H^p_{sing}(X(\mathbb{R}), A)$. See [5, Theorem 5.7] for a stronger result.

We remark that one may in fact show that the natural map $R(EMW_{\mathbb{R}}[1/2]) \to H\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ is an equivalence, but this is more work. We also note that the composite $\mathbf{SH}(\mathbb{R}) \to EMW_{\mathbb{R}}[1/2]\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \xrightarrow{R_W} H\mathbb{Z}[1/2]\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ is naturally isomorphic to the composite $\mathbf{SH}(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{R} \mathbf{SH} \to H\mathbb{Z}[1/2]\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$, both of which we think of as the "motive (with $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ coefficents) of the spectrum of real points".

Corollary 30. *Let k be a real closed field. The category $EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** is canonically equivalent to $D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$.*

Proof. Write $\mathbb{Q}^r$ for the real algebraic numbers, i.e. the algebraic numbers contained in $\mathbb{R}$. This is a real closure of $\mathbb{Q}$. It is initial in the category of real closed fields. Consequently $EMW_k[1/2]$-**Mod** is canonically equivalent to $EMW_{\mathbb{Q}^r}[1/2]$-**Mod**. By the canonical embedding $\mathbb{Q}^r \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ it is canonically equivalent to $EMW_{\mathbb{R}}[1/2]$-**Mod**, which is (canonically) equivalent to $D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$. □

The proof also shows that the equivalence is essentially functorial: if $k \hookrightarrow l$ is an extension of real closed fields, then the autoequivalence $D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \approx EMW_k[1/2]\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \xrightarrow{\approx} EMW_l[1/2]\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \approx D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ is naturally isomorphic to the identity. Now let k be an arbitrary field (of characteristic zero) and σ an ordering of k. Write k_σ for a real closure of (k, σ). We denote by $M^{\mathbb{R}}_\sigma[1/2] : \mathbf{SH}(k) \to D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ the composite $\mathbf{SH}(k) \to \mathbf{SH}(k_\sigma) \to EMW_{k_\sigma}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod} \approx D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ and call it the *real motive associated with σ*. We have thus proved the following:

Theorem 31 (Conservativity II)**.** *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Assume that k has finite virtual 2-étale cohomological dimension. Write $Sper(k)$ for the set of orderings of k.*

If $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)$ is connective and slice-connective, and we have that $0 \simeq ME \in \mathbf{DM}(k)$ and that for each $\sigma \in Sper(k)$, $0 \simeq M^{\mathbb{R}}_\sigma[1/2](E) \in D(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$, then $E \simeq 0$.

Proof. Combine Corollary 19, Lemma 20, Corollary 26 and Corollary 30. $\square$

Remark. The author contends that the results in this section can be proved more directly by using transfer considerations. For example to prove Corollary 25, it seems like a good first step to prove: if k is a field of characteristic zero and H_* is a homotopy module which is also a module over $EMW_k[1/2]$ (i.e. 2 and η act invertibly on H_*), then for any finitely generated field extension K/k and any real étale cover $\{Spec(L_i) \to Spec(K)\}_{i \in I}$, the map

$$H_*(K) \to \prod_{i \in I} H_*(L_i)$$

is injective. Since H_* affords transfers satisfying the projection formula, for this it is enough to prove that the ideal inside $W(K)[1/2]$ generated by $tr_{L_i/K}(W(L_i)[1/2])$ is all of $W(K)[1/2]$. This can be checked directly, using that $W(K)[1/2] \approx \mathbb{Z}[1/2]^{Sper(K)}$ (and similarly for the L_i).

A Compact Objects in Abelian and Triangulated Categories

Here we collect some well-known but hard to reference facts about compact objects. Recall that if $\mathcal{C}$ is a category with (countable) filtered colimits then an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is called *(countably) compact* if for every (countable) filtering diagram $\{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ the natural map $\operatorname{colim}_\lambda \operatorname{Hom}(X, T_\lambda) \to \operatorname{Hom}(X, \operatorname{colim}_n T_\lambda)$ is an isomorphism.

Since triangulated categories do not usually have filtered colimits, this definition would not make much sense. Instead an object X in a triangulated category $\mathcal{C}$ with (countable) coproducts is called (countably) compact if for every (countable) family $\{T_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ (here Λ is just a set) the natural map $\bigoplus_\lambda \operatorname{Hom}(X, T_\lambda) \to \operatorname{Hom}(X, \bigoplus_\lambda T_\lambda)$ is an isomorphism.

Finally recall sequential countable homotopy colimits in triangulated categories. Suppose $\{T_n, f_n : T_n \to T_{n+1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in the triangulated category $\mathcal{C}$. There is a natural map

$$\operatorname{id} - s : \bigoplus_n T_n \to \bigoplus_n T_n, \text{“}(t_1, t_2, \dots) \mapsto (t_1, t_2 - f_1 t_1, t_3 - f_2 t_2, \dots).\text{”}$$

A cone on this map is denoted $\operatorname{hocolim}_n T_n$.

Suppose X is a countably compact object in the triangulated category $\mathcal{C}$ and $\{T_n\}$ is a sequence. After choosing a presentation as a cone, there is a natural map $\operatorname{colim}_n \operatorname{Hom}(X, T_n) \to \operatorname{Hom}(X, \operatorname{hocolim}_n T_n)$. This map is an isomorphism [22, Lemma 1.5].

Lemma 32. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a t-category with countable coproducts and such that $\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ satisfies Ab5. If $\{T_n\} \in \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit$ is a sequence of objects then there is an isomorphism*

$$\operatorname{hocolim}_n T_n \approx \operatorname{colim}_n T_n.$$

Here $\operatorname{hocolim}_n T_n$ *is computed in* $\mathcal{C}$ *by the above formula, and* $\operatorname{colim}_n T_n$ *is computed in the abelian category* $\mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}$*.*

We recall that Ab5 means that filtered colimits are exact. This is true in many abelian categories, including $\mathbf{HI}_*(k) = \mathbf{SH}(k)^{\heartsuit}$.

Proof. The point is that because of Ab5 the sequence

$$0 \to \bigoplus_n T_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} - s} \bigoplus_n T_n \to \operatorname{colim}_n T_n \to 0$$

is exact on the left (exactness at all other places follows from the definition of colimit). In any t-category short exact sequences in the heart yield distinguished triangles in the triangulated category, so we are done by the definition of hocolim. □

Corollary 33. *In the above situation, if* $E \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ *is countably compact then so is* $\pi_0^{\mathcal{C}} E \in \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}$*.*

Proof. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_0^{\mathcal{C}} E, \operatorname{colim}_n T_n) &\overset{(1)}{=} \operatorname{Hom}(X, \operatorname{colim}_n T_n) \\
&\overset{(2)}{=} \operatorname{Hom}(X, \operatorname{hocolim}_n T_n) \\
&\overset{(3)}{=} \operatorname{colim}_n \operatorname{Hom}(X, T_n) \\
&\overset{(4)}{=} \operatorname{colim}_n \operatorname{Hom}(\pi_0^{\mathcal{C}} X, T_n).
\end{aligned}$$

This is the required result. (Here (1) is because $\operatorname{colim}_n T_n \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$, (2) is by the lemma, (3) is by compactness of X and (4) is because $T_n \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$.) □

B Construction of $F_\bullet H$; General Case

In this section k is a perfect field; we shall prove Theorem 14 by constructing the relevant sheaves by hand and establishing the necessary properties.

Suppose for a moment that we can show (1) to (3). In appendix A we proved that if $E \in \mathbf{SH}(k)^{cpt}_{\geq 0}$ then also $\underline{\pi}_0(E)_* \in \mathbf{HI}_*(k)$ is (countably) compact. (We also clarified the meaning of compactness there.) Statement (4) then follows from the observation that

$$H_* = \bigcup_n F_n H_*.$$

(Indeed $(F_n H)_n = H_n$ by definition.)

Thus we need only establish the following.

Theorem 34. *Let* k *be a perfect field,* $M_* \in \mathbf{HI}_*(k)$*, and* $n > 0, m \in \mathbb{Z}$*. Then the strictly invariant sheaf* $(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}$ *exists and satisfies* $\Omega(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr} = (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)^{tr}$*. (We put* $(\underline{K}_0^{MW} M_m)^{tr} = M_m$*.)*

To outline our strategy, we begin with two general observations. Recall that a presheaf M is called unramified if $M(X) \to M(X^{(0)})$ is injective (here $X^{(0)}$ denotes the set of generic points of X), $M(X^{(0)}) = \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} M(x)$ and one has $M(X) = \bigcap_{x \in X^{(1)}} M(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. If $\mathcal{O}_v \subset L$ is a dvr, we write $\kappa(v)$ for the residue field and $s = s_v : M(\mathcal{O}_v) \to M(\kappa(v))$ for the specialisation homomorphism.

Proposition 35. *Let M be a strictly invariant sheaf and $I : \mathcal{F}_k \to Ab$ a continuous subfunctor of $M|_{\mathcal{F}_k}$. Suppose that for each $K \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and for each dvr $\mathcal{O}_v \subset K$ we have that $s(I(K) \cap M(\mathcal{O}_v)) \subset I(\kappa(v))$.*

There exists a unique unramified sub-presheaf I of M extending $I : \mathcal{F}_k \to Ab$ and with $I(\mathcal{O}_v) = I(K) \cap M(\mathcal{O}_v)$ for each $K \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and dvr $\mathcal{O}_v \subset K$. It is a Nisnevich sheaf and we have $I(X) = M(X) \cap I(X^{(0)})$.

Proof. We use [21, Theorem 2.11]. This says that the functor $I : \mathcal{F}_k \to Ab$ together with the data $I(\mathcal{O}_v)$ and the specialisations inherited from M extends to a (unique) unramified presheaf, provided the data satisfies certain axioms called (A1) to (A4). In fact the theorem establishes an equivalence of categories, so I will be a subpresheaf of M. Moreover the axioms for I are all direct consequences of the axioms for M and our definitions, so we know that I exists.

The formula $I(X) = I(X^{(0)}) \cap M(X)$ for all X follows from the formula being true for $X = Spec(\mathcal{O}_v)$, because I is unramified.

To prove that I is a sheaf, let $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ be a Nisnevich cover of X and $\{i_\alpha\}_\alpha$ a compatible family, $i_\alpha \in I(U_\alpha)$. Then there exists a unique gluing $i \in M(X)$ with $i|_{U_\alpha} = i_\alpha$. We need to show that $i \in I(X)$, i.e. that $i \in I(X^{(0)})$. Let $\xi \in X^{(0)}$. Since $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ is a Nisnevich cover there exists α_ξ such that $\xi \in U_{\alpha_\xi}$. It then follows that $i|_\xi = (i|_{U_\xi})|_\xi = i_{\alpha_\xi}|_\xi \in I(\xi)$. This concludes the proof. □

Lemma 36. *Let M be a strictly invariant sheaf and I a subsheaf such that $I(X) = M(X) \cap I(X^{(0)})$. Then I is strictly invariant if and only if for all $L \in \mathcal{F}_k$ we have $H^1(\mathcal{A}^1_L, I) = 0$.*

Proof. We note that an arbitrary presheaf F is $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant if and only if for each X, the map $F(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) \to F(X)$ induced by the zero section $X \to X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is injective. In particular I is invariant, being a subsheaf of an invariant sheaf.

By [21, Theorem 5.46] we then know that I is strictly invariant if and only if $H^1(\bullet, I)$ is invariant. Let $C = M/I$ be the Nisnevich quotient sheaf and consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows induced by the zero section $X \to \mathbb{A}^1 \times X$. A chase reveals that α is injective if and only if β is

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^0(\mathbb{A}^1 \times X, I) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\approx"] & H^0(\mathbb{A}^1 \times X, M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\approx"] & H^0(\mathbb{A}^1 \times X, C) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha"] & H^1(\mathbb{A}^1 \times X, I) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\beta"] & H^1(\mathbb{A}^1 \times X, M) \arrow[d, "\approx"] \\
H^0(X, I) \arrow[r] & H^0(X, M) \arrow[r] & H^0(X, C) \arrow[r] & H^1(X, I) \arrow[r] & H^1(X, M)
\end{tikzcd}$$

injective. Hence I is strictly invariant if and only if C is invariant.

Now let X be Nisnevich local with fraction field K, and consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\gamma"] & 0 \\
I(K) \arrow[r] & M(K) \arrow[r] & C(K) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the left square is Cartesian by assumption, the morphism γ is injective. Now let X be arbitrary. Since C is a Nisnevich sheaf, we conclude that the composite $C(X) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X} C(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,x}) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X} C(Frac(\mathcal{O}^h_{X,x}))$ is injective, and hence so is $C(X) \to \bigoplus_{F \to X} C(F)$. Here the sum is over all fields $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and all F-points of X. Now consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d] & \bigoplus_{F \to X} C(F \times \mathbb{A}^1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \bigoplus_{F \to X \times \mathbb{A}^1} C(F) \\
C(X) \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{F \to X} C(F) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The top right map comes from the fact that $\mathrm{Hom}(Spec(F), X \times \mathbb{A}^1) = \mathrm{Hom}(Spec(F), X) \times \mathrm{Hom}(Spec(F), \mathbb{A}^1)$. By what we just said the top composite is injective and hence so is α. Thus the left vertical map is injective if the right one is. That is, C is invariant if (and only if) $C(F \times \mathbb{A}^1) \to C(F)$ is injective for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$, which (going back to the first diagram) is the same as $H^1(F \times \mathbb{A}^1, I) = 0$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

We now need some more facts about homotopy modules. Recall that if M is a sheaf with a $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m$-module structure and $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on X, then one writes $M(\mathcal{L})$ for the sheaf tensor product $M|_X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{L}^\times]$, where $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{L}^\times](U)$ is the free abelian group on the trivialisations of $\mathcal{L}|_U$. The sections are denoted $M(U, \mathcal{L}) := M(\mathcal{L})(U)$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is trivial on the affine U, then $M(U, \mathcal{L}) = M(U) \times_{\mathcal{O}^\times(U)} \mathcal{L}(U)^\times$, where $\mathcal{L}(U)^\times$ is the set of generators of the free rank one $\mathcal{O}(U)$-module $\mathcal{L}(U)$. Thus $M(U, \mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to $M(U)$ for such U, but not canonically so.

If M_* is a homotopy module then each M_m is a $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m$-module, via the natural map $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m \to \underline{K}_0^{MW}, a \mapsto \langle a \rangle$. This is the only $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{G}_m$-module structure we shall use for twisting. It follows that $M_*(\mathcal{L}' \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) \approx M_*(\mathcal{L}')$, canonically.

If $x \in X$, we put $\Lambda^X_x = \Lambda^{max}(m_x/m_x^2)$, where $m_x \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is the defining ideal. This is a one-dimensional $k(x)$-vector space. It turns out that there is, for $x \in X^{(1)}$ and X connected, a natural *boundary map* $\partial_x : M_*(k(X)) \to M_{*-1}(k(x), \Lambda^X_x)$ rendering the following sequence exact [21, Chapter 2]

$$0 \to M_*(X) \to M_*(k(X)) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} M_{*-1}(k(x), \Lambda^X_x).$$

If $x \in X^{(1)}$ and π is a uniformizer of the dvr $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, i.e. π generates m_x, then we are provided with a trivialization of Λ^X_x, and so an isomorphism $M_*(k(x), \Lambda^X_x) \approx M_*(k(x))$. It follows that ∂_x corresponds to a morphism $\partial^\pi_x : M_*(k(X)) \to$

$M_{*-1}(k(x))$. This morphism is in fact related to the specialisation morphism as follows.

Proposition 37. *Let $\mathcal{O}_v \subset K$ be a dvr with uniformizer π, M_* a homotopy module. Write $s : M_*(\mathcal{O}_v) \to M_*(\kappa(v))$ for the specialisation and $\partial^\pi : M_*(K) \to M_{*-1}(\kappa(v))$ for the boundary. Put*

$$s^\pi : M_*(K) \to M_*(\kappa(v)), s^\pi(m) = \langle -1 \rangle \partial^\pi([-\pi]m).$$

Then the following hold.

(i) For $m \in M_*(\mathcal{O}_v)$ we have $s^\pi(m) = s(m)$.

(ii) For $\alpha \in \underline{K}_*^{MW}(K), m \in M_*(K)$ we have $s^\pi(\alpha m) = s^\pi(\alpha)s^\pi(m)$.

(iii) For $\alpha \in \underline{K}_*^{MW}(K), m \in M_*(K)$ we have

$$\partial^\pi(\alpha m) = \partial^\pi(\alpha)s^\pi(m) + s^\pi(\alpha)\partial^\pi(m) + \partial^\pi(\alpha)[-1]\partial^\pi(m).$$

Proof. We begin with two preliminaries. Firstly, if $M_* = \underline{K}_*^{MW}$, then all of the relations hold by [21, Lemma 3.16].

Secondly, suppose that $\alpha \in \underline{K}_*^{MW}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ and $m \in M_*(K)$ (respectively $\alpha \in \underline{K}_*^{MW}(K)$ and $m \in M_*(\mathcal{O}_v)$). Then we have $\partial^\pi(\alpha m) = s(\alpha)\partial^\pi(m)$ (respectively $\partial^\pi(\alpha m) = \partial^\pi(\alpha)s(m)$). To see this, one goes back to the geometric construction of ∂^π as in [21, Corollary 2.35]. That is we observe that after canonical identifications, ∂^π corresponds to the boundary map $\partial : H^0(K, M_*) \to H^1_v(\mathcal{O}_v, M_*)$ in the long exact sequence for cohomology with support. Our claim then follows from the observation that for any sheaf of rings K and K-module M, the boundary map in cohomology with support satisfies our claim. This is easy to prove using e.g. Godement resolutions.

Statement (i) follows now from $\partial^\pi([-\pi]) = \langle -1 \rangle$.

We can prove statements (ii) and (iii) most quickly together. To do this, let $\underline{K}_*^{MW}(K)[\xi]$ be the graded-ϵ-commutative ring with ξ in degree one, $\xi^2 = \xi[-1]$ and let $M_*(K)[\xi] := M_*(K) \oplus M_{*-1}(K)\xi$ be the evident $\underline{K}_*^{MW}(K)[\xi]$-module. Put $\Theta : M_*(K) \to M_*(\kappa(v))[\xi], \Theta(a) = s^\pi(a) + \partial^\pi(a)\xi$. (Compare [21, Lemma 3.16].) Then (ii) and (iii) are equivalent to $\Theta(\alpha m) = \Theta(\alpha)\Theta(m)$. It is sufficient to prove this on generators α of $\underline{K}_*^{MW}(K)$. Moreover (ii) and (iii) for $\alpha \in K_*^{MW}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ hold by the second preliminary point. It follows from [21, Theorem 3.22, Lemma 3.14] and standard formulas in Milnor-Witt K-theory that $\underline{K}_*^{MW}(K)$ is generated by $\underline{K}_*^{MW}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ together with $[\pi]$. Hence to establish (ii) and (iii) we need only consider $\alpha = \pi$.

These are easy computations: $s^\pi([\pi]m) = \partial^\pi([-\pi][\pi]m) = 0$ because $[-\pi][\pi] = 0$ [21, Lemma 3.7 1)] and also $s^\pi([\pi]) = 0$, so $s^\pi([\pi])s^\pi(m) = 0 = s^\pi([\pi]m)$ as desired. Similarly $\partial^\pi([\pi]m) = \partial^\pi(([-1] + \langle -1 \rangle[-\pi])m) = [-1]\partial^\pi(m) + \langle -1 \rangle \partial^\pi([-\pi]m)$ and this is the same as $\partial^\pi([\pi])s^\pi(m) + s^\pi([\pi])\partial^\pi(m) + \partial^\pi([\pi])[-1]\partial^\pi(m)$ because $\partial^\pi([\pi]) = 1$ and $s^\pi([\pi]) = 0$. □

Finally we come to transfers. Write ω for the canonical sheaf. Then by [21, Chapter 5], there are *absolute transfers* $Tr_K^L : M_*(L,\omega) \to M_*(K,\omega)$ for any finite extension $f : Spec(L) \to Spec(K)$ in $\mathcal{F}_k$. These satisfy many properties, for example the projection formula $Tr_K^L(f^*(\alpha)m) = \alpha Tr_K^L(m)$ whenever $\alpha \in \underline{K}_*^{MW}(K), m \in M_*(L)$. It follows that for a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on K there exist natural transfers $M_*(L, f^*(\mathcal{L})\otimes\omega) \to M_*(K, \mathcal{L}\otimes\omega)$. Put $\nu(f) = \omega \otimes f^*(\omega)^{-1}$. Then we find the twisted transfer $M_*(L,\nu(f)) \to M_*(K)$. We shall need the following result, which says that the transfers on fields extend to arbitrary finite morphisms.

Theorem 38 ([21], Corollary 5.30)**.** *Let $f : X' \to X$ be a finite morphism of essentially k-smooth schemes. Then there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_*(X',\nu(f)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M_*(X'^{(0)},\nu(f)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Tr"] & \bigoplus_{x\in X'^{(1)}} M_{*-1}(k(x),\nu(f)\otimes\Lambda_x^{X'}) \arrow[d, "Tr"] \\
M_*(X) \arrow[r] & M_*(X^{(0)}) \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(1)}} M_{*-1}(k(x),\Lambda_x^{X}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here the middle vertical arrow is the transfer just defined. If $x \in X'^{(1)}$ then we have $\nu(f)\otimes\Lambda_x^{X'} \approx \omega\otimes f^*(\omega_X)^{-1}$ and hence there is a natural transfer $M_{*-1}(k(x),\nu(f)\otimes\Lambda_x^{X'}) \to M_{*-1}(k(f(x)),\Lambda_{f(x)}^X)$. The right vertical map is just the evident sum of such transfers. The left horizontal maps are injective, so the theorem asserts that the right square is commutative and that the unique vertical map on the left making the diagram commutative exists.

We now put

$$(\underline{K}_n^{MW}M_m)^{tr}(K) = \left\langle Tr_K^L(\underline{K}_n^{MW}(L,\nu(L/K))M_m(L))\right\rangle_{L/K}.$$

This is the "correct" definition of $(\underline{K}_n^{MW}M_m)^{tr}(K)$, using twisted absolute transfers. But note that if we just choose some isomorphisms $\omega_K \approx K$ and $\omega_L \approx L$, we get the composite $K_n(L)M_m(L) \subset M_{n+m}(L) \approx M_{n+m}(L,\omega_L) \xrightarrow{Tr} M_{m+n}(K,\omega_K) \approx M_{m+n}(K)$ and this has the same image as the "correct" transfer, so the twists do not actually matter in some sense.

Lemma 39. *Let $\mathcal{O}_v \subset K$ be a dvr with uniformizer π. Then $\partial^\pi(\underline{K}_n^{MW}M_m)^{tr}(K) \subset (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW}M_m)^{tr}(\kappa(v)) \subset M_{n+m-1}(\kappa(v))$, for each $n > 0$.*

Proof. Let $f : Spec(L) \to Spec(K)$ be a finite extension and A the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_v$ in L. Then A is semilocal and finite over $\mathcal{O}_v$. Let $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ be the closed points. From Theorem 38 we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_{n+m}(L,\nu(f)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Tr"] & \bigoplus_i M_{n+m-1}(k(x_i),\Lambda_{x_i}^A\otimes\nu(f)) \arrow[d, "\Sigma_i Tr"] \\
M_{n+m}(K) \arrow[r] & M_{m+n-1}(\kappa(v),\Lambda_v^{\mathcal{O}_v}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The choice of π trivialises $\Lambda_v^{\mathcal{O}_v}$ and the bottom horizontal arrow becomes ∂^π. Let $\alpha \in \underline{K}_n^{MW}(L,\nu(f))$ and $m \in M_m(L)$. Then $\partial^\pi(Tr(\alpha m)) = \Sigma_i Tr(\partial_i(\alpha))m)$ and we see that it is enough to prove that $\partial_i(\underline{K}_n^{MW}(L,\nu(f))M_m(L)) \subset \underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW}(k(x_i),\Lambda^A_{x_i}\otimes \nu(f))M_m(k(x_i))$. We note that $\nu(f)$ is (non-canonically) trivial so we can ignore that twist.

We may thus forget about the extension L/K and just prove that $\partial^\pi(\underline{K}_n^{MW}(K)M_m(K)) \subset K_{n-1}(K)M_m(K)$ (renaming L to K). But this follows from Proposition 37 part (iii) and the fact that $\underline{K}_{>0}^{MW}(K)$ is generated in degree 1. □

Corollary 40. *The purported sheaf* $(\underline{K}_n M_m)^{tr} \subset M_{n+m}$ *exists and is unramified.*

Proof. We want to apply Proposition 35. For this we need to show that if $\mathcal{O}_v \subset K$ is a dvr then $s((\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(K) \cap M_{n+m}(\mathcal{O}_v)) \subset (\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(\kappa(v))$. Using Proposition 37 part (i) we know that $s(x) = s^\pi(x) = \langle -1\rangle \partial^\pi([-\pi]x)$ and so $s((\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(K) \cap M_{n+m}(\mathcal{O}_v)) \subset \partial^\pi([-\pi](\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(K)) \subset \partial^\pi((\underline{K}_{n+1}^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(K)) \subset (\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(\kappa(v))$ by the lemma. □

We next prove that $(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}$ is strictly invariant. By Lemma 36 it suffices to show that $H^1(\mathbb{A}^1{}_K, (\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}) = 0$ for each $K \in \mathcal{F}_k$. By what we have done so far, for X essentially smooth over k there is a complex of abelian groups

$$(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(X) \to (\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(X^{(0)}) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(k(x), \Lambda^X_x). \tag{5}$$

These fit together to form a complex of sheaves on the small Nisnevich site of X (it is a subcomplex of the Rost-Schmid complex of M_*, truncated in codimension one). We shall prove that if X has dimension one this is a Nisnevich resolution and then compute H^1 for $X = \mathbb{A}^1{}_K$.

Lemma 41. *Let X be Nisnevich local of dimension one. Then the complex* (5) *is exact. In particular, for X of dimension one (not necessarily local), the complex is an acyclic Nisnevich resolution.*

Proof. The two sheaves on the right are acyclic by [21, Lemma 5.42]. The kernel of the second differential is $(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(X)$, essentially by definition. Thus the "in particular" part indeed follows from the first statement.

So let X be Nisnevich local (still of dimension one), with generic point η and closed point x. We need to prove that $\partial : (\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(\eta) \to (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(\kappa(x), \Lambda^X_x)$ is surjective. Let $l/\kappa(x)$ be a finite extension. Writing this as a sequence of simple extensions and lifting minimal polynomials of generators (which remain irreducible by Gauss' lemma), we can find a finite extension L/K with $[L : K] = [l/\kappa(x)]$ such that if $f : \tilde{X} \to X$ is the integral closure of X in L then l is one of the residue fields of $\tilde{X}$. Note that $\tilde{X}$ is connected and finite over X, so is in fact Nisnevich local (i.e. the spectrum of a Henselian local ring) [30, Tag 04GH]. Let $\tilde{x}$ be the unique point above x, so that $l = \kappa(\tilde{x})$. From Theorem 38 and Lemma 39 we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)(k(\tilde{X}), \nu(f)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Tr"] & (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)(\kappa(\tilde{x}), \nu(f) \otimes \Lambda_{\tilde{x}}^{\tilde{X}}) \arrow[d, "Tr"] \\
(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(k(X)) \arrow[r] & (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(\kappa(x), \Lambda_x^X).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Observing that $\nu(f)$ is (non-canonically) trivial (and that l was arbitrary) it is thus enough to show that $(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)(\eta) \to \underline{K}_{n-1}(\kappa(x), \Lambda_x^X) M_m(\kappa(x))$ is surjective (renaming $\tilde{X}$ to X).

Since k is perfect $\kappa(x)/k$ is smooth. It is then not hard to see (using the fact that X is Henselian) that $x \to X$ affords a section, and so $s : M_m(X) \to M_m(\kappa(x))$ is surjective. Also $\partial : \underline{K}_n^{MW}(\eta) \to \underline{K}_{n-1}(\kappa(x), \Lambda_x^X)$ is surjective because $\underline{K}_*^{MW}$ is a homotopy module. So for $\alpha \in \underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW}(\kappa(x), \Lambda_x^X)$ and $m \in M_m(\kappa(x))$ we can find $\alpha' \in \underline{K}_n^{MW}(\eta)$ and $m' \in K_m(X)$ with $\partial(\alpha') = \alpha, s(m') = m$ and thus $\partial(\alpha' m') = \alpha m$ by a (very) special case of Proposition 37 part (iii).

This concludes the proof. ☐

Lemma 42. *Let $K \in \mathcal{F}_k$. The differential*

$$(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(K(T)) \to \bigoplus_{x \in {\mathbb{A}^1}_K^{(1)}} (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(k(x), \Lambda_x^{\mathbb{A}^1})$$

is surjective.

Proof. Fix $x_0 \in {\mathbb{A}^1}_K^{(1)}$. It suffices to prove that given $\alpha \in (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(\kappa(x_0), \Lambda_{x_0}^{\mathbb{A}^1})$ there exists $\beta \in (\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)(K(T))$ such that $\partial_{x_0}(\beta) = \alpha$ and $\partial_y(\beta) = 0$ for $y \neq x_0$. We may suppose that α is obtained by transfer from a finite extension $L/\kappa(x)$. Then L/K is also finite.

Letting $f : {\mathbb{A}^1}_L \to {\mathbb{A}^1}_K$ be the canonical map, as before we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)(L(T), \nu(f)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Tr"] & \bigoplus_{y \in {\mathbb{A}^1}_L^{(1)}} (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)(k(y), \nu(f) \otimes \Lambda_y^{\mathbb{A}^1}) \arrow[d, "Tr"] \\
(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(K(T)) \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{x \in {\mathbb{A}^1}_K^{(1)}} (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(k(x), \Lambda_x^{\mathbb{A}^1}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

We note that there is at least one point $y_0 \in {\mathbb{A}^1}_L$ above $x_0 \in {\mathbb{A}^1}_K$ with $\kappa(y_0) = \kappa(x_0)$ and that $\nu(f)$ is (non-canonically) trivial. Hence it suffices to prove: given $y_0 \in {\mathbb{A}^1}_L^{(1)}$ with $\kappa(y) = L$ and $\alpha \in (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)(\kappa(y_0), \Lambda_{y_0}^{\mathbb{A}^1})$ there exists $\beta \in (\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)(L(T))$ with $\partial_{y_0}(\beta) = \alpha$ and $\partial_y(\beta) = 0$ for $y \neq y_0$.

We may assume that $\alpha = cm$ with $c \in \underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW}(\kappa(y_0), \Lambda_{y_0}^{\mathbb{A}^1})$ and $m \in M_m(\kappa(y_0))$. Since $\underline{K}_*^{MW}$ is a homotopy module there exists $c' \in \underline{K}_n^{MW}(L(T))$ with $\partial_{y_0}(c') = c$ and $\partial_y(c') = 0$ for $y \neq y_0$. Moreover since $\kappa(y_0) = \kappa(y)$ the natural map $M_m(L) \to M_m(\kappa(y))$ is an isomorphism and we may choose $m' \in M_m(L)$ mapping to m. Then $m' \in M_m(\mathcal{O}_{{\mathbb{A}^1}_L, y})$ for all y and hence $\partial_y(c'm') = \partial_y(c')s(m')$

for all y (including y_0). Thus $\partial_{y_0}(c'm') = cm$ and $\partial_y(c'm') = 0$ for $y \neq y_0$. This concludes the proof. □

Thus $(\underline{K}_n M_m)^{tr})$ is strictly invariant, as desired. We also get essentially for free the following.

Corollary 43. *We have* $\Omega(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr} = (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)^{tr}$.

Proof. If $K \in \mathcal{F}_k$ then $\Omega(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(K) = \partial_0^T((\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(K(T))) = (\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)^{tr}(K)$, where ∂_0^T is the boundary map corresponding to the point $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1{}_K$. Indeed the first equality holds for every strictly invariant sheaf and the second holds by the lemma. Thus $\Omega(\underline{K}_n^{MW} M_m)^{tr}$ and $(\underline{K}_{n-1}^{MW} M_m)^{tr}$ are two strictly invariant subsheaves of M_{m+n-1} with the same sections on fields and hence equal. □

All parts of Theorem 34 are now proved. We cannot resist giving the following application. It is not used in the rest of the text.

Corollary 44 (Compare [26].)**.** *Let M_* be a homotopy module which is torsion of exponent prime to the characteristic of k, and L/K an extension of algebraically closed fields. Then $M_*(L) = M_*(K)$.*

Proof. We shall call any sheaf F with $F(L) = F(K)$ rigid. Rigid sheaves are stable under extension, limit and colimit.

Let $K_n = ker(\eta^n : M_{*+n} \to M_*)$. Then there are exact sequences $0 \to K_n \to K_{n+1} \to K_{n+1}/K_n \to 0$. Since η acts trivially on K_{n+1}/K_n, this homotopy module affords transfers by Theroem 8 and so is rigid [15, Theorem 7.20]. Also η acts trivially on K_1, so this module is rigidy by the same argument. By induction we find that K_n is rigid for all n (recall that rigid modules are stable under extension), and hence $K_\infty := \operatorname{colim}_n K_n$ is rigid. It is thus sufficient to show that M/K_∞ is rigid. We may thus assume that $\eta : M_{*+1} \to M_*$ is *injective.*

Next consider the exact sequences $0 \to K^{(n)} := \eta^n(M_{*+n})/\eta^{n+1}(M_{*+n+1}) \to M_*/\eta^{n+1}M_{*+n+1} \to M_*/\eta^n M_{*+n} \to 0$. By induction on n (starting at $n = 0$) using the fact that η acts trivially on $K^{(n)}$ and so $K^{(n)}$ is rigid as before, one finds that $M_*/\eta^n M_{*+n}$ is rigid for all n. Let $\eta^\infty(M)_* = \cap_n \eta^n M_{*+n}$. It follows that $M_*/\eta^\infty(M)_*$ is rigid, being an inverse limit of rigid sheaves. It remains to show that $\eta^\infty(M)$ is rigid.

Now η was assumed injective on M. From this it follows that η is an isomorphism on $\eta^\infty(M)$. So in particular from now on we may assume that η is *surjective* on M.

Consider $\tilde{F}_1 M/\tilde{F}_0 M =: M'$. It is straightforward to check that η acts trivially on this module, so it is rigid. On the other hand $(\tilde{F}_1 M)_1(K) = M_1(K)$ whereas $(\tilde{F}_0 M)_1(K) = K_1^{MW}(K) M_0(K) = K_1^{MW}(K)\eta M_1(K) = I(K) M_1(K) = 0$, since K is algebraically closed. Thus $M'(K)_1 = M(K)_1$ and similarly for L, so we conclude that M_1 is rigid. The general result follows by replacing M_* by M_{*+n}. □

References

[1] Alexey Ananyevskiy, Marc Levine, and Ivan Panin. Witt sheaves and the η-inverted sphere spectrum. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1504.04860*, 2015.

[2] Mikhail Bondarko. On infinite effectivity of motivic spectra and the vanishing of their motives. to appear.

[3] Baptiste Calmès and Jean Fasel. Finite chow-witt correspondences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.2989*, 2014.

[4] Frédéric Déglise. Orientable homotopy modules. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 135(2):519–560, 2013.

[5] Hans Delfs. Homology of locally semialgebraic spaces. 1991.

[6] Daniel Dugger and Daniel C Isaksen. Hypercovers in topology. *arXiv preprint math/0111287*, 2001.

[7] Richard Elman and Christopher Lum. On the cohomological 2-dimension of fields. *Communications in Algebra*, 27(2):615–620, 1999.

[8] S.I. Gelfand and Y. Manin. *Methods of Homological Algebra*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer Science & Business Media, 2003.

[9] Mark Hovey, John Harold Palmieri, and Neil P Strickland. *Axiomatic stable homotopy theory*, volume 610. American Mathematical Soc., 1997.

[10] Marc Hoyois. From algebraic cobordism to motivic cohomology. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2013.

[11] Po Hu. On the picard group of the stable a1-homotopy category. *Topology*, 44(3):609–640, 2005.

[12] Max Karoubi, Charles Weibel, and Marco Schlichting. The witt group of real algebraic varieties. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.03862*, 2015.

[13] Marc Levine. The slice filtration and grothendieck-witt groups, 2010.

[14] J.P. May. Picard groups, grothendieck rings, and burnside rings of categories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 163(1):1 – 16, 2001.

[15] Carlo Mazza, Carlo Mazza, Vladimir Voevodsky, Charles Weibel, et al. *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*. American Mathematical Soc., 2011.

[16] John Willard Milnor and Dale Husemoller. *Symmetric bilinear forms*, volume 60. Springer, 1973.

[17] F. Morel. Voevodsky's proof of milnor's conjecture. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1998.

[18] Fabien Morel. An introduction to $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory. *ICTP Trieste Lecture Note Ser. 15*, pages 357–441, 2003.

[19] Fabien Morel. On the motivic $\pi 0$ of the sphere spectrum. In *Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory*, pages 219–260. Springer, 2004.

[20] Fabien Morel. The stable-connectivity theorems. *K-theory*, 35(1):1–68, 2005.

[21] Fabien Morel. $\mathbb{A}^1$*-Algebraic Topology over a Field.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.

[22] Amnon Neeman. The connection between the k-theory localization theorem of thomason, trobaugh and yao and the smashing subcategories of bousfield and ravenel. *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 25(5):547–566, 1992.

[23] Manuel Ojanguren and Ivan Panin. A purity theorem for the witt group. *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 32(1):71 – 86, 1999.

[24] Joël Riou. Dualité de spanier–whitehead en géométrie algébrique. *Comptes Rendus Mathematique*, 340(6):431–436, 2005.

[25] Oliver Röndigs and Paul Arne Østvær. Modules over motivic cohomology. *Advances in Mathematics*, 219(2):689 – 727, 2008.

[26] Oliver Röndigs and PaulArne Østvær. Rigidity in motivic homotopy theory. *Mathematische Annalen*, 341(3):651–675, 2008.

[27] Winfried Scharlau. Quadratic reciprocity laws. *Journal of Number Theory*, 4(1):78–97, 1972.

[28] Claus Scheiderer. *Real and Etale Cohomology.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics;1588. Berlin : Springer, 1994.

[29] S.S. Shatz. *Profinite Groups, Arithmetic, and Geometry.* Annals of mathematics studies. Princeton University Press, 1972.

[30] The Stacks Project Authors. *stacks project.* *`http://stacks.math.columbia.edu`, 2015.*

[31] V. Voevodsky. Open problems in the motivic stable homotopy theory , i. In International Press Conference on Motives, Polylogarithms and Hodge Theory. *International Press, 2002.*